

NIKO RESOURCES LTD.

**ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM
FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2009**

JUNE 22, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS	1
FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS AND OTHER CAUTIONARY NOTES	4
THE COMPANY	5
BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY	6
General	6
Three Year History	6
Recent Developments	7
STATEMENT OF RESERVES DATA AND OTHER OIL AND GAS INFORMATION	8
Disclosure of Reserves Data	8
Pricing Assumptions	17
Reconciliations of Changes in Reserves	18
Additional Information Relating to Reserves Data	19
Oil and Gas Properties	21
Oil and Gas Wells	39
Properties with No Attributed Reserves	39
Forward Contracts	40
Additional Information Concerning Abandonment and Reclamation	40
Costs Incurred	40
Exploration and Development Activities	41
Production Estimates	41
Production History	43
DEFINITIONS, NOTES AND OTHER CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS	45
DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS	49
Name, Occupation and Security Holding	49
Orders	50
Bankruptcies	51
Penalties and Sanctions	51
AUDIT COMMITTEE	51
Composition of the Audit Committee	51
Relevant Education and Experience	51
Audit Committee Oversight	52
Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures	52
Audit Fees	52
Audit-related Fees	52
Tax Fees	52
All Other Fees	52
CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	52
DIVIDENDS	53

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
CAPITAL STRUCTURE	53
MARKET FOR COMMON SHARES	54
Trading Price and Volume	54
Prior Sales	54
SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN	55
RISK FACTORS	57
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS	63
INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS	63
TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR.....	64
EXPERTS	64
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.....	64
FORM 51-101F2 REPORT ON RESERVES DATA BY INDEPENDENT QUALIFIED RESERVES EVALUATOR.....	65
FORM 51-101F3 REPORT OF MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS ON OIL AND GAS DISCLOSURE.....	66
SCHEDULE "A" NIKO AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER.....	A-1

ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

In this Annual Information Form, the abbreviations set forth below have the following meanings:

"bbl"	barrel	"Mcf"	thousand cubic feet
"bbls/d"	barrels per day	"Mcfe"	thousand cubic feet of gas equivalent
"Bcf"	billion cubic feet	"MMbbl"	million barrels
"boe"	barrels of oil equivalent	"MMbtu"	million British thermal units
"boe/d"	barrels of oil equivalent per day	"MMcf"	million cubic feet
"bopd"	barrels of oil per day	"MMcf/d"	million standard cubic feet per day
"M\$"	thousands of U.S. dollars	"mmscmd"	million metric standard cubic metres per day
"MM\$"	millions of U.S. dollars	"NGL"	natural gas liquids
"Mbbl"	thousand barrels	"NHV"	net heating value
"Mboe"	thousand barrels of oil equivalent		

Boe may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. A boe conversion ratio of 6 Mcf:1 bbl is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead. Mcfe may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. An Mcfe conversion ratio of 1 bbl:6 Mcf is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead.

In this Annual Information Form, the capitalized terms set forth below have the following meanings:

"**ABCA**" means the *Business Corporations Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c. B9, together with any amendments thereto and all regulations promulgated thereunder;

"**BAPEX**" means the Bangladesh Petroleum Exploration Co., a wholly owned subsidiary of Petrobangla;

"**Block 9**" means the contract area Block 9 located in Bangladesh, onshore near the city of Dhaka, as identified in a PSC entered into by CIBL, Tullow Bangladesh Limited, Texaco Exploration Asia Pacific Regional Pathfinding Inc., Petrobangla and the Government of Bangladesh in April 2001; on September 17, 2003, Niko, through its indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, Niko Resources (Cayman) Ltd., acquired all of the shares of CIBL, an indirect subsidiary of ChevronTexaco Corporation;

"**Bone Bay Block**" means the contract area known as Bone Bay located offshore south Sulawesi, Indonesia, as identified in a PSC entered into by Black Gold Ventures LLC, Marathon Indonesia (Bone Bay) Limited and BPMIGAS in November 2008 with an interest therein being assigned to Niko in November 2008;

"**BPMIGAS**" means Badan Pelaksana Kegiatan Usaha Hulu Minyak Dan Gas Bumi, the executive agency for upstream oil and gas activity in Indonesia;

"**Brent Blended**" means a sweet type of crude oil, being a mix of crude oils from several facilities in the Ninian and Brent fields on the North Sea, that is used as a benchmark for the prices of other crude oils;

"**Cauvery Block**" means the contract area CY-ONN-2003/1 of Cauvery located onshore south India as identified in the PSC entered into by Niko and the GOI in September 2005;

"**Cendrawasih Block**" means the contract areas known as Cendrawasih located in the Cendrawasih Bay to the north of West Papua, Indonesia, as identified in a PSC entered into by Black Gold Cendrawasih LLC, Esso Exploration International Limited and BPMIGAS in May 2009 with an interest therein being assigned to Niko in May 2009;

"**Chattak**" means the contract areas of Chattak east and Chattak west located onshore Bangladesh on the northern Bangladesh/Indian border, as identified in the JVA;

"**CIBL**" means Chevron International Bangladesh Limited;

"**Common Shares**" means the common shares in the capital of the Company;

"**D4 Block**" means the contract area Block MN-DWN-2003/1 located offshore east coast India in the Mahanadi Basin, as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko, Reliance and the GOI in September 2005;

"**D6 Block**" means the contract area Block KG-DWN 98/3 located offshore east coast India, as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko, Reliance and the GOI in April 2000;

"**Farmout Agreement**" means the agreement between EnerMad Corp. and Niko Resources (Overseas VIII) Limited to assign and transfer rights and obligations under the PSC for the Madagascar Block effective October 20, 2008;

"**Feni**" means the contract area of Feni located in the Chittagong region of Bangladesh, as identified in the JVA;

"**Fiscal 2006**" means the fiscal year of the Company ended March 31, 2006, "**Fiscal 2007**" means the fiscal year of the Company ended March 31, 2007, "**Fiscal 2008**" means the fiscal year of the Company ended March 31, 2008, "**Fiscal 2009**" means the fiscal year of the Company ended March 31, 2009 and "**Fiscal 2010**" means the fiscal year of the Company ended March 31, 2010;

"**FPSO**" means floating production storage and offloading vessel;

"**GBA**" means gas balancing agreement;

"**GGCL**" means Gujurat Gas Company Limited, the Indian subsidiary of British Gas PLC;

"**GHPL**" means Government Holdings (Private) Ltd., which manages the Government of Pakistan's working interest in upstream oil and gas ventures;

"**GOB**" means the Government of Bangladesh;

"**GOI**" means the Government of India;

"**GPSA**" and "**GSPA**" mean gas purchase and sale agreement;

"**GRI**" means the Government of the Republic of Indonesia;

"**GSEG**" means the Gujurat State Electrical Generation Ltd.;

"**GSPC**" means Gujurat State Petroleum Corporation Limited;

"**GSPC JOA**" means the Joint Operating Agreement between the Company and GSPC signed on December 5, 1994, covering the operation of five fields in India, being the Hazira, Bhandut, Cambay, Matar and Sabarmati fields located in Gujurat State in western India;

"**Hazira Field**" means the contract area known as the Hazira Field located onshore and offshore in Gujurat State, India, as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko, GSPC and the GOI in September 1994;

"**Indonesian Blocks**" means, collectively, West Sageri, Southeast Ganal, Seram, South Matindok, Bone Bay, Kofiau, Kumawa and Cendrawasih;

"**JVA**" means the Joint Venture Agreement between NRBL and BAPEX signed on October 16, 2003, covering the operation of three onshore fields in Bangladesh, being the Feni, Chattak east and Chattak west fields located in the Dhaka and Chittagong areas of Bangladesh;

"**Kofiau Block**" means the contract area known as Kofiau located offshore from the Bird's Head of West Papua, Indonesia as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko Resources (Overseas IX) LLC, Black Gold Kofiau LLC and BPMIGAS in May 2009;

"**KRG**" means the Kurdistan Regional Government of Iraq;

"**Kumawa Block**" means the contract area known as Kumawa located offshore to the south of West Papua, Indonesia as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko Resources (Overseas VII) Limited, Black Gold Kumawa LLC and BPMIGAS in May 2009 with an interest therein being assigned to Niko in May 2009;

"**LBDP**" means the land based drilling platform;

"**Madagascar Block**" means the contract area located off the west coast of Madagascar, as identified in a PSC entered into by EnerMad Corp. and OMNIS in October 2007;

"**NEC-25**" means the contract area Block NEC-OSN-97/2 located offshore east coast India as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko, Reliance and the GOI in April 2000;

"**Niko**" or the "**Company**" means Niko Resources Ltd. and, where the context requires, includes its wholly-owned subsidiaries;

"**NI 51-101**" means Canadian Securities Administrators' National Instrument 51-101 *Standards of Disclosure for Oil and Gas Activities*;

"**NI 52-110**" means Canadian Securities Administrators' National Instrument 52-110 *Audit Committees*;

"**NRBL**" means Niko Resources (Bangladesh) Ltd., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Niko Resources Ltd.;

"**OMNIS**" means the Office of National Mines and Strategic Industries in Madagascar;

"**Pakistan Blocks**" means the contract areas Block No. 2465-3 (OFFSHORE INDUS-X), Block No. 2465-4 (OFFSHORE INDUS-Y), Block No. 2466-6 (OFFSHORE INDUS-Z) and Block No. 2466-7 (OFFSHORE INDUS NORTH), all located offshore in the Arabian Sea near the city of Karachi, as identified in four PSAs entered into by Niko, the President of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan and GHPL in March 2008;

"**Petrobangla**" means the Bangladesh Oil, Gas and Mineral Corporation;

"**PSA**" means production sharing agreement;

"**PSC**" means production sharing contract;

"**Qara Dagh Block**" means the contract area Block 10 located in Sulaymaniyah governorate of the Federal Region of Kurdistan in Iraq, as identified in a PSC entered into by Nikoresources (Kurdistan) Ltd., Vast Exploration (Kurdistan) Inc., Groundstar Resources Kurdistan Ltd. and the KRG effective May 14, 2008;

"**Reliance**" means Reliance Industries Limited;

"**Reliance JOAs**" means, collectively, the Joint Operating Agreements between the Company and Reliance Industries Limited signed on October 4, 2002 (covering the operation of D6 Block and NEC-25) and signed April 4, 2007 (covering the operation of the D4 Block);

"**Ryder Scott**" means Ryder Scott Company, independent oil and gas reservoir engineers of Calgary, Alberta;

"**Ryder Scott Report**" means the independent reserves and economic evaluation of Niko's oil and natural gas interests in the Hazira Field, the Surat Block, the D6 Block, Feni and Block 9 prepared by Ryder Scott dated June 22, 2009 and effective March 31, 2009;

"**Seram Block**" means the contract area known as Seram located offshore to the northeast of the island of Seram, Indonesia, as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko Resources (Overseas VI) Limited, Black Gold Indonesia LLC and BPMIGAS effective November 13, 2008;

"**Southeast Ganai Block**" means the contract area known as Southeast Ganai located in the Makassar Strait, Indonesia as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko Resources (Overseas III) Limited, Kaizan South East Ganai I LLC and BPMIGAS effective November 13, 2008;

"**South Matindok Block**" means the contract area known as South Matindok located offshore east Sulawesi, Indonesia, as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko Resources (Overseas IV) Limited, Kaizan South Matindok LLC and BPMIGAS effective November 13, 2008;

"**Surat Block**" means the contract area Block CBB-ONN-2000/2 located onshore in Gujarat State, India as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko and the GOI in July 2001;

"**TSX**" means the Toronto Stock Exchange; and

"**West Sageri Block**" means the contract area known as West Sageri located in the Makassar Strait, Indonesia as identified in a PSC entered into by Niko Resources (Overseas II) Limited, Kaizan West Sageri LLC and BPMIGAS effective November 13, 2008.

In this Annual Information Form, references to "dollars", "\$" and "US\$" are to the currency of the United States of America, unless otherwise indicated.

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS AND OTHER CAUTIONARY NOTES

Certain statements contained in this Annual Information Form, including estimates of reserves, estimates of future cash flow and estimates of future production as well as other statements about anticipated future events or results, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements often, but not always, are identified by the use of words such as "seek", "anticipate", "believe", "plan", "estimate", "expect", "targeting" and "intend" and statements that an event or result "may", "will", "should", "could" or "might" occur or be achieved and other similar expressions. More particularly and without limitation, this Annual Information Form contains forward-looking statements relating to the following:

- the performance characteristics of the Company's oil, NGL and natural gas properties;
- oil, NGL and natural gas production levels;
- the size of the oil, NGL and natural gas reserves;
- projections of market prices and costs;
- supply and demand for oil and natural gas;
- expectations regarding the ability to raise capital and to continually add to reserves through acquisitions and development;
- future funds from operations;
- capital programs;
- debt levels;
- future royalty rates;
- future depletion, depreciation and accretion rates;
- treatment under governmental regulatory regimes and tax laws; and
- capital expenditure programs.

The forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Information Form are based on certain key expectations and assumptions made by the Company, including expectations and assumptions relating to prevailing commodity prices and exchange rates, applicable royalty rates and tax laws, future well production rates, the performance of existing wells, the success of drilling new wells, the availability of capital to undertake planned activities and the availability and cost of labour and services.

Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements in this Annual Information Form are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Since forward-looking statements address future events and conditions, by their very nature they involve inherent risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those currently anticipated due to a number of factors and risks. These include, but are not limited to, the risks associated with the oil and gas industry in general, such as operational risks in development, exploration and production, delays or changes in plans with respect to exploration or development projects or capital expenditures, the uncertainty of estimates and projections relating to production rates, costs and expenses, commodity price and exchange rate fluctuations, marketing and transportation, environmental risks, competition, the ability to access sufficient capital from internal and external sources and changes in tax, royalty and environmental legislation, as well as the other risk factors identified under "Risk Factors" herein. Statements relating to "reserves" or "resources" are deemed to be forward-looking statements, as they involve the implied assessment, based on certain estimates and assumptions, that the reserves described exist in the quantities predicted or estimated, and can be profitably produced in the future. Readers are cautioned that the foregoing list of factors and risks is not exhaustive.

The forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Information Form are made as of the date hereof and, unless so required by applicable law, the Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Information Form are expressly qualified by this cautionary statement.

The information with respect to net present values of future net revenues from reserves presented throughout this Annual Information Form, whether calculated without discount or using a discount rate, are estimated values and do not represent fair market value. It should not be assumed that the net present values of future net revenues from reserves presented in the tables contained in this Annual Information Form are representative of the fair market value of the reserves. There is no assurance that the price and cost assumptions will be attained and variances could be material.

The estimates of reserves and future net revenue for individual properties contained herein may not reflect the same confidence level as estimates of reserves and future net revenue for all properties, due to the effects of aggregation.

THE COMPANY

Niko Resources Ltd. was incorporated under the ABCA on March 27, 1987. On October 7, 1997, the Company's Articles of Incorporation were amended to delete the Company's class A shares and class B shares, to rename the Common Shares and to create a class of preferred shares. The Company's principal and registered office is located at Suite 4600, 400 – 3rd Avenue S.W., Calgary, Alberta, T2P 4H2.

Niko Resources (Block 9) Limited is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Niko Resources Ltd. with total revenues exceeding 10% of the consolidated revenues of Niko. Niko Resources (Block 9) Limited was incorporated and currently exists under the laws of Bermuda.

Niko (NECO) Limited is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Niko Resources Ltd. with total assets exceeding 10% of the consolidated assets of Niko. Niko (NECO) Limited was incorporated and currently exists under the laws of Caymans.

Niko's other directly and indirectly wholly-owned subsidiaries include Niko Canop Ltd.; Niko Resources (Cayman) Ltd.; Niko Resources (CYPRUS2) Limited; Nikoresources (Cyprus) Limited; Niko (NELPIO) Ltd.; Niko Resources (Bangladesh) limited; Niko Resources (Pakistan) Limited; Nikoresources (Kurdistan) Ltd.; Niko

Resources (Overseas I) Limited.; Niko Resources (Overseas II) Limited; Niko Resources (Overseas III) Limited; Niko Resources (Overseas IV) Limited; Niko Resources (Overseas V) Limited; Niko Resources (Overseas VI) Limited; Niko Resources (Overseas VII) Limited; Niko Resources (Overseas VIII) Limited; and Niko Resources (Overseas IX) Limited, none of which have total assets or revenues exceeding 10% of the consolidated assets or revenues of Niko.

BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY

General

Niko is engaged in the exploration for, and the development and production of, natural gas and oil in the countries of India, where it currently holds interests in three onshore and three offshore blocks, Bangladesh, where it currently holds interests in three onshore blocks, Pakistan, where it currently holds interests in four offshore blocks, Kurdistan Region of Iraq, where it currently holds an interest in one onshore block, Indonesia, where it currently holds interests in eight offshore blocks and Madagascar, where it currently holds an interest in one offshore block. The Company also has minor interests in oil and gas properties in Canada. For further information on individual properties, see "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties".

As at March 31, 2009, Niko had 16 employees at its head office in Calgary, Alberta, 100 employees at its Indian offices, 20 employees at its Bangladesh offices, 1 employee at its Pakistan office, 8 employees at its Kurdistan office, 1 employee at its Madagascar office and 5 employees at its Indonesian office.

Three Year History

The following is a description of events and conditions that have influenced the general development of the business during Fiscal 2007, Fiscal 2008 and Fiscal 2009.

In March 2009, the Company, along with its partner Reliance, signed a GSPA with 15 customers in India's fertilizer sector for supply of natural gas from the D6 Block.

In January 2009, Canadian authorities confirmed that they are engaged in a formal investigation into allegations of improper payments in Bangladesh by either Niko or NRBL.

In November 2008, the Company acquired interests in PSCs for five offshore blocks in Indonesia. See "Statement of Reserves Data – Oil and Gas Properties – Indonesia" for a description of the terms of the PSCs for these blocks.

In October 2008, the Company farmed-in to a PSC for a Madagascar Block. See "Statement of Reserves Data – Oil and Gas Properties – Madagascar – Terms of the Madagascar PSC" for a description of the terms of the PSC for the Madagascar Block.

In September 2008, oil production from the MA discovery in the D6 Block commenced.

In July 2008, the field development plan for the D6 satellite field was submitted.

In May 2008, the Company entered into a PSC with the KRG for an interest in the Qara Dagh Block. See "Statement of Reserves Data – Oil and Gas Properties – Kurdistan" for a description of the terms of the PSC for the Qara Dagh Block.

In March 2008, the Company signed four PSAs with the President of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan and GHPL for the Pakistan Blocks. See "Statement of Reserves Data – Oil and Gas Properties – Pakistan" for a description of the terms of the PSAs for the Pakistan Blocks.

In November 2007, the Company executed a credit facility agreement. The credit facility was being used to fund 65% of the Company's share in the D6 Block natural gas development. In April 2009, the credit facility was amended. See "Business of the Company – Recent Developments".

In August 2007, the Company completed a prospectus offering of 4,762,000 Common Shares at a price of Cdn\$105.00 per Common Share for net proceeds of Cdn\$480,900,600 (after deducting underwriting fees of Cdn\$20,000,400).

In May 2007, development plans for NEC-25 for the six discoveries that have been declared commercial by the Indian regulatory authorities were submitted to the GOI. Once approved, estimated development costs and more information with respect to timing will be available.

In February 2007, the Company completed a prospectus offering of 2,300,000 Common Shares at a price of Cdn\$81.50 per Common Share for net proceeds of Cdn\$179,952,000 (after deducting underwriting fees of Cdn\$7,498,000).

In December 2006, the addendum to the initial development plant for the Dhirubhai 1 and 3 gas discovered in the D6 Block was approved by the GOI.

In August 2006, the Company completed a prospectus offering of 2,000,000 Common Shares at a price of Cdn\$63.25 per Common Share for net proceeds of Cdn\$121,440,000 (after deducting underwriting fees of Cdn\$5,060,000).

In May 2006, natural gas production from the Block 9 field in Bangladesh commenced.

Recent Developments

In May 2009, the Company acquired rights in three additional offshore exploration blocks in Indonesia: the Kofiau Block, the Kumawa Block and the Cendrawasih Block. Each of these blocks is located offshore West Papua and is approximately 1.2 million acres. See "Statement of Reserves Data – Oil and Gas Properties – Indonesia" for a description of the terms of the PSCs in respect of these blocks.

In April, May and June 2009, the Company and its partner signed 19 GSPAs with customers in India's power sector and 3 GSPAs with customers in India's steel sector for supply of natural gas from the D6 Block.

In April 2009, the Company amended its existing credit facility. The amendment released approximately US\$32 million to unrestricted cash and allows the Company to use the remaining restricted cash of approximately US\$209 million at March 31, 2009 to fund development costs for the Hazira Field, the Surat Block and the D6 Block and the costs of operating the Hazira Field, the Surat Block, Block 9 and the Dhirubhai 1 and 3 gas fields of the D6 Block. The amendment reduced the amount of the credit facility to US\$193 million, increased the interest rate to LIBOR plus 4% and changed the milestones to reach project completion.

In April 2009, gas production from the Dhirubhai 1 and 3 gas fields in the D6 Block commenced.

STATEMENT OF RESERVES DATA AND OTHER OIL AND GAS INFORMATION

This statement of reserves data and other information (the "**Statement**") is dated June 22, 2009 and is effective March 31, 2009. The preparation date of the information regarding reserves in the Statement was June 22, 2009.

The future net revenue numbers presented throughout this Statement, whether calculated without discount or using a discount rate, are estimated values and do not represent fair market value. It should not be assumed that the net present values of future net revenues presented in the tables below are representative of the fair market value of the reserves. There is no assurance that the price and cost assumptions will be attained and variances could be material.

Disclosure of Reserves Data

The following reserves data and associated tables summarize the reserves of crude oil, natural gas and NGL and the net present values of future net revenues associated with the Company's reserves as evaluated in the Ryder Scott Report, based on forecast price assumptions presented in accordance with NI 51-101. The Ryder Scott Report evaluates the Company's interest in the Hazira Field, the Surat Block and the D6 Block in India and Feni and Block 9 in Bangladesh.

There is no assurance that the price and cost assumptions set out below will be attained and variances could be material. The reserve estimates provided herein are estimates only and there is no guarantee that the estimated reserves will be recovered. Actual reserves may be greater than or less than the estimates provided herein.

The Company's material reserves and production are located in India and Bangladesh. The Company also has properties in Canada with reserves. Reserves attributable to the Company's Canadian properties constitute less than 0.1% of the Company's total reserves and therefore have not been evaluated and are not included in the reserve information provided below.

Reserves Disclosure – Total India and Bangladesh

The following tables detail the aggregate gross and net reserves of the Company for both its India and Bangladesh properties as a whole, estimated using forecast prices and costs, as well as the aggregate net present value of future net revenue attributable to the reserves (both before and after future income tax expenses), estimated using forecast prices and costs, calculated without discount and using discount rates of 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%:

Reserves Category	Summary of Oil and Gas Reserves – India and Bangladesh Forecast Prices and Costs As at March 31, 2009					
	Light and Medium Crude Oil		Natural Gas		NGL	
	Gross (Mbbbl)	Net ⁽¹⁾ (Mbbbl)	Gross (MMcf)	Net ⁽¹⁾ (MMcf)	Gross (Mbbbl)	Net ⁽¹⁾ (Mbbbl)
PROVED						
Developed Producing	1,421	1,389	103,508	73,095	435	399
Developed Non-Producing	10	8	579,986	515,953	72	31
Undeveloped	1,414	1,394	441,603	238,216	3,604	2,374
TOTAL PROVED	2,844	2,791	1,125,097	827,264	4,111	2,804
PROBABLE	1,236	1,181	500,900	211,400	476	166
TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE	4,081	3,972	1,625,997	1,038,664	4,587	2,970

Reserves Category	Net Present Values of Future Net Revenues – India and Bangladesh ⁽²⁾ Forecast Prices and Costs As at March 31, 2009					
	Before Income Taxes Discounted at (% / year)					Unit value Before Income Tax Discounted at 10% / year
	0 (MM\$)	5 (MM\$)	10 (MM\$)	15 (MM\$)	20 (MM\$)	(\$/Mcfe)
PROVED						
Developed Producing	148	134	122	112	103	1.46
Developed Non-Producing	1,507	1,284	1,102	952	828	2.13
Undeveloped	1,023	741	541	397	293	2.07
TOTAL PROVED	2,678	2,160	1,765	1,461	1,223	2.05
PROBABLE	713	467	312	213	148	1.42
TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE	3,391	2,627	2,078	1,674	1,371	1.92

Reserves Category	Net Present Values of Future Net Revenues – India and Bangladesh ⁽²⁾ Forecast Prices and Costs As at March 31, 2009					
	After Income Taxes Discounted at (% / year)					
	0 (MM\$)	5 (MM\$)	10 (MM\$)	15 (MM\$)	20 (MM\$)	
PROVED						
Developed Producing	138	124	113	103	95	
Developed Non-Producing	1,507	1,284	1,102	952	827	
Undeveloped	858	600	420	292	201	
TOTAL PROVED	2,503	2,009	1,635	1,348	1,123	
PROBABLE	495	323	213	144	99	
TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE	2,998	2,331	1,848	1,492	1,223	

Notes:

- (1) "Net" reserves are defined as those accruing to the Company's working interest share after royalty interests owned by others have been deducted including a reduction to reflect any profit petroleum amounts that will be payable to the GOI and GOB.
- (2) These values reflect reductions for the estimates for profit petroleum amounts that will be payable to the GOI and GOB.

The following table provides the elements of future net revenue attributable to proved reserves and proved plus probable reserves of the Company for both its India and Bangladesh properties as a whole, estimated using forecast prices and costs and calculated without discount:

(MM\$)	Future Net Revenue India and Bangladesh Properties As at March 31, 2009	
	Forecast Prices and Costs (Undiscounted)	
	Proved Reserves	Proved Plus Probable Reserves
Revenue ⁽¹⁾	5,579	8,575
Profit Petroleum ⁽²⁾	(1,636)	(3,365)
Royalties	(383)	(651)
Operating Costs	(441)	(616)
Development Costs	(393)	(499)
Abandonment and reclamation costs	(49)	(53)
Future Net Revenue Before Income Taxes	2,678	3,391
Income Taxes	(176)	(393)
Future Net Revenue After Income Taxes	2,503	2,998

Notes:

- (1) Under the terms of the gas sales contracts that are currently in place with respect to the Company's natural gas production for the Hazira and Surat properties in India, the purchasers of natural gas pay the royalties and sales taxes levied by the GOI as well as transportation charges over and above the contracted price. Revenue as presented above is the contracted price plus the amount of royalties levied by the GOI.
- (2) Under the terms of the applicable Indian PSCs and the JVA, the GOI and GOB are entitled to a percentage share of the profit oil and gas produced from the Company's India properties and Feni, respectively, which percentage is based upon the multiple of investment cost recovered by the Company. Under the terms of the PSC for Block 9, the GOB is entitled to a percentage share of the profit oil and gas produced from Block 9, which percentage is based upon the production levels and whether or not the Company has recovered its investment in the field. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – India – Terms of Indian PSCs" and "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Bangladesh".

The following table details by production group and on a unit value basis for each production group, the net present value of future net revenue (before deducting future income tax expenses) for the Company's India and Bangladesh properties as a whole, estimated using forecast prices and costs and calculated using a discount rate of 10%:

Reserves Category	Future Net Revenue – India and Bangladesh By Production Group As at March 31, 2009		
	Production Group	Future Net Revenue Before Income Taxes (Discounted at 10%/year) (MM\$)	Unit Value
Proved Reserves	Light and Medium Oil ⁽¹⁾ Natural Gas ⁽²⁾	64 1,701	\$11.37/bbl \$2.06/Mcfe
Proved Plus Probable Reserves	Light and Medium Oil ⁽¹⁾ Natural Gas ⁽²⁾	142 1,936	\$15.61/bbl \$1.89/Mcfe

Notes:

- (1) Light and medium oil includes solution gas and other by-products.
- (2) Natural Gas includes by-products such as NGL but excludes solution gas from oil wells.

Reserves Disclosure – India

The following tables detail the aggregate gross and net reserves of the Company for its India properties as a whole, estimated using forecast prices and costs, as well as the aggregate net present value of future net revenue attributable to the reserves (both before and after future income tax expenses), estimated using forecast prices and costs, calculated without discount and using discount rates of 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%:

Reserves Category	Summary of Oil and Gas Reserves – India ⁽¹⁾ Forecast Price and Costs As at March 31, 2009					
	Light and Medium Crude Oil		Natural Gas		NGL	
	Gross (Mbbbl)	Net ⁽²⁾ (Mbbbl)	Gross (MMcf)	Net ⁽²⁾ (MMcf)	Gross (Mbbbl)	Net ⁽²⁾ (Mbbbl)
PROVED						
Developed Producing	1,421	1,389	17,782	15,722	336	333
Developed Non-Producing	10	8	517,952	489,639	-	-
Undeveloped	1,414	1,394	441,603	238,216	3,604	2,374
TOTAL PROVED	2,844	2,791	977,337	743,577	3,940	2,707
PROBABLE	1,236	1,181	391,028	166,427	349	114
TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE	4,081	3,972	1,368,364	910,004	4,289	2,821

Reserves Category	Net Present Values of Future Net Revenues – India ^{(1) (3)} Forecast Prices and Costs As at March 31, 2009					
	Before Income Taxes Discounted at (% / year)					Unit value Before Income Tax Discounted at 10% / year
	0 (MM\$)	5 (MM\$)	10 (MM\$)	15 (MM\$)	20 (MM\$)	(US\$/Mcf)
PROVED						
Developed Producing	34	32	30	29	27	1.17
Developed Non-Producing	1,463	1,252	1,077	932	811	2.20
Undeveloped	1,023	741	541	397	293	2.07
TOTAL PROVED	2,520	2,025	1,649	1,358	1,131	2.12
PROBABLE	631	410	271	183	126	1.56
TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE	3,151	2,435	1,920	1,541	1,257	2.02

Reserves Category	Net Present Values of Future Net Revenues – India ^{(1) (3)} Forecast Prices and Costs As at March 31, 2009					
	After Income Taxes Discounted at (% / year)					
	0 (MM\$)	5 (MM\$)	10 (MM\$)	15 (MM\$)	20 (MM\$)	
PROVED						
Developed Producing	23	22	21	20	19	
Developed Non-Producing	1,463	1,252	1,077	932	811	
Undeveloped	858	600	420	292	201	
TOTAL PROVED	2,344	1,874	1,518	1,244	1,031	
PROBABLE	414	265	173	114	77	
TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE	2,758	2,140	1,691	1,359	1,108	

Notes:

- (1) The above tables present the reserve numbers and net present value of future net revenue attributable to those reserves contained in the Ryder Scott Report for the Company's India properties. The Ryder Scott Report evaluates the Company's interest in the Hazira Field, the Surat Block and the D6 Block.
- (2) "Net" reserves are defined as those accruing to Niko's working interest share after royalty interests owned by others have been deducted including a reduction to reflect any profit petroleum amounts that will be payable to the GOI.
- (3) These values reflect reductions for the estimates for profit petroleum amounts that will be payable to the GOI.

The following table provides the elements of future net revenue attributable to proved reserves and proved plus probable reserves of the Company for its India properties as a whole, estimated using forecast prices and costs and calculated without discount:

(MM\$)	Future Net Revenue Total India Properties As at March 31, 2009	
	Forecast Prices and Costs (Undiscounted)	
	Proved Reserves	Proved Plus Probable Reserves
Revenue ⁽¹⁾	5,223	7,954
Profit Petroleum ⁽²⁾	(1,481)	(3,053)
Royalties	(383)	(651)
Operating Costs	(402)	(566)
Development Costs	(389)	(481)
Abandonment and reclamation costs	(49)	(52)
Future Net Revenue Before Income Taxes	2,520	3,151
Income Taxes	(176)	(393)
Future Net Revenue After Income Taxes	2,344	2,758

Notes:

- (1) Under the terms of the gas sales contracts that are currently in place with respect to the Company's natural gas production in India, the purchasers of natural gas pay the royalties and sales taxes levied by the GOI as well as transportation charges over and above the contracted price. Revenue as presented above is the contracted price plus the amount of royalties levied by the GOI.
- (2) Under the terms of the applicable PSCs, the GOI is entitled to a percentage share of the profit gas produced from the Company's properties, which percentage is based upon the multiple of investment cost recovered by the Company. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – India – Terms of Indian PSCs".

The following table details, by production group and on a unit value basis for each production group, the net present value of future net revenue (before deducting future income tax expenses) for the Company's India properties as a whole, estimated using forecast prices and costs and calculated using a discount rate of 10%:

Reserves Category	Future Net Revenue – India By Production Group As at March 31, 2009		
	Production Group	Future Net Revenue Before Income Taxes (Discounted at 10% / year) (MM\$)	Unit Value
Proved Reserves	Light and Medium Oil (bbls) ⁽¹⁾ Natural Gas (Mcf) ⁽²⁾	64 1,584	\$11.37/bbl \$2.13/Mcfe
Proved Plus Probable Reserves	Light and Medium Oil (bbls) ⁽¹⁾ Natural Gas (Mcf) ⁽²⁾	142 1,778	\$15.61/bbl \$1.98/Mcfe

Notes:

- (1) Light and medium oil includes solution gas and other by-products.
- (2) Natural Gas includes by-products such as NGL but excludes solution gas from oil wells.

Reserves Disclosure – Bangladesh

The following tables detail the aggregate gross and net reserves of the Company for its Bangladesh properties as a whole, estimated using forecast prices and costs, as well as the aggregate net present value of future net revenue attributable to the reserves (both before and after future income tax expenses), estimated using forecast prices and costs, calculated without discount and using discount rates of 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%:

Reserves Category	Summary of Oil and Gas Reserves – Bangladesh ⁽¹⁾ Forecast Price and Costs As at March 31, 2009					
	Light and Medium Crude Oil		Natural Gas		NGL	
	Gross (Mbbbl)	Net ⁽²⁾ (Mbbbl)	Gross (MMcf)	Net ⁽²⁾ (MMcf)	Gross (Mbbbl)	Net ⁽²⁾ (Mbbbl)
PROVED						
Developed Producing	-	-	85,726	57,373	99	67
Developed Non-Producing	-	-	62,034	26,314	72	31
Undeveloped	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL PROVED	-	-	147,760	83,687	172	97
PROBABLE	-	-	109,873	44,974	127	52
TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE	-	-	257,633	128,660	299	149

Reserves Category	Net Present Values of Future Net Revenues – Bangladesh ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ Forecast Prices and Costs As at March 31, 2009					
	Before Income Taxes Discounted at (% / year)					Unit value Before Income Tax Discounted at 10% / year
	0 (MM\$)	5 (MM\$)	10 (MM\$)	15 (MM\$)	20 (MM\$)	(US\$/Mcf)
PROVED						
Developed Producing	114	102	92	84	76	1.59
Developed Non-Producing	44	32	25	20	16	0.94
Undeveloped	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL PROVED	159	135	117	103	93	1.39
PROBABLE	82	57	41	30	22	0.90
TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE	241	192	158	133	115	1.22

Reserves Category	Net Present Values of Future Net Revenues – Bangladesh ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ Forecast Prices and Costs As at March 31, 2009					
	After Income Taxes Discounted at (% / year)					
	0 (MM\$)	5 (MM\$)	10 (MM\$)	15 (MM\$)	20 (MM\$)	
PROVED						
Developed Producing	114	102	92	83	76	
Developed Non-Producing	44	32	25	20	16	
Undeveloped	-	-	-	-	-	
TOTAL PROVED	159	135	117	103	93	
PROBABLE	82	57	41	30	22	
TOTAL PROVED PLUS PROBABLE	240	192	158	133	114	

Notes:

- (1) The above tables present the reserve numbers and net present value of future net revenue attributable to those reserves contained in the Ryder Scott Report for the Company's Bangladesh properties. The Ryder Scott Report evaluates the Company's interest in Feni and Block 9.
- (2) "Net" reserves are defined as those accruing to the Company's working interest share after royalty interests owned by others have been deducted including a reduction to reflect any profit petroleum amounts that will be payable to the GOB.
- (3) These values reflect reductions for the estimates for profit petroleum amounts that will be payable to the GOB.

The following table provides the elements of future net revenue attributable to proved reserves and proved plus probable reserves of the Company for its Bangladesh properties as a whole, estimated using forecast prices and costs and calculated without discount:

(MM\$)	Future Net Revenue Total Bangladesh Properties As at March 31, 2009	
	Forecast Prices and Costs (Undiscounted)	
	Proved Reserves	Proved Plus Probable Reserves
Revenue	357	621
Profit Petroleum ⁽¹⁾	(155)	(312)
Operating Costs	(40)	(50)
Development Costs	(3)	(18)
Abandonment and reclamation costs ⁽²⁾	(-)	(1)
Future Net Revenue Before Income Taxes	159	241
Income Taxes ⁽²⁾	(-)	(-)
Future Net Revenue After Income Taxes	159	240

Notes:

- (1) Under the terms of the JVA for Feni, the GOB is entitled to a percentage share of the profit gas produced, which percentage is based upon the multiple of investment cost recovered by the Company. Under the terms of the PSC for Block 9, the GOB is entitled to a percentage share of the profit gas produced, which percentage is based upon the production level and whether or not the Company has recovered its investment in the field. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Bangladesh".
- (2) Abandonment and reclamation costs for proved reserves are US\$398,094. Income taxes for proved reserves are US\$29,671 and for proved plus probable reserves are US\$201,458. Amounts have been rounded down to zero in the table above.

The following table details, by production group and on a unit value basis for each production group, the net present value of future net revenue (before deducting future income tax expenses) for the Company's Bangladesh properties as a whole, estimated using forecast prices and costs and calculated using a discount rate of 10%:

Reserves Category	Future Net Revenue – Bangladesh By Production Group As at March 31, 2009		
	Production Group	Future Net Revenue Before Income Taxes (Discounted at 10% / year) (MM\$)	Unit Value
Proved Reserves	Natural Gas ⁽¹⁾	117	\$1.39/Mcfe
Proved Plus Probable Reserves	Natural Gas ⁽¹⁾	158	\$1.22/Mcfe

Note:

- (1) Natural Gas includes by-products such as NGL but excludes solution gas from oil wells.

Pricing Assumptions

The following tables detail the reference prices as of March 31, 2009 utilized by Ryder Scott in the Ryder Scott Report for estimating reserves data disclosed above under "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Disclosure of Reserves Data". Ryder Scott is an independent qualified reserves evaluator and auditor.

Summary of Pricing and Inflation Rate Assumptions As of March 31, 2009 Forecast Prices and Costs ⁽¹⁾ for Hazira Field, Surat Block and D6 Block						
Year	Hazira – Oil	Hazira – Oil	Hazira – Natural Gas	Surat – Natural Gas	D6 – Oil	D6 – Oil
	Proved (\$US/bbl) ⁽²⁾	Proved Plus Probable (\$US/bbl) ⁽²⁾	(\$US/Mcf)	(\$US/Mcf)	Proved (\$US/bbl) ⁽²⁾	Proved Plus Probable (\$US/bbl) ⁽²⁾
Forecast						
2010	52.48	52.59	5.25	5.69	51.14	51.00
2011	60.94	61.12	5.22	6.20	57.94	58.15
2012	65.65	65.66	5.22	6.20	62.11	62.24
2013	68.74	69.34	5.22	6.20	65.12	65.57
2014	-	72.39	5.29	6.20	-	67.99
Average thereafter	-	75.38	5.29	6.20	-	-

Year	D6 – Condensate	D6 – Condensate	D6 – D1&D3 Gas	D6 – MA Gas	Inflation Rate % / Year ⁽³⁾
	Proved (\$US/bbl) ⁽²⁾	Proved Plus Probable (\$US/bbl) ⁽²⁾	(\$US/Mcf)	(\$US/Mcf)	
Forecast					
2010	52.46	52.34	3.82	4.19	0
2011	58.27	58.68	3.82	4.19	2
2012	62.24	62.45	3.82	4.19	2
2013	66.60	65.65	3.82	4.19	2
2014	68.65	68.97	3.82	4.19	2
Average thereafter	78.66	78.66	6.49	7.11	2

Notes:

- (1) The natural gas prices shown in the table were provided by Ryder Scott based on discussions with Niko, contractual agreements and sales data provided by Niko to Ryder Scott. The oil and NGL prices shown in this table were provided by Ryder Scott and reflect its current estimates, which are based on its survey of future hydrocarbon parameters used by financial institutions and others in industry. The estimated natural gas prices are the negotiated prices plus royalty expenses, which are assumed to be paid by the purchaser.
- (2) The reference price used by Ryder Scott is Brent Blended.
- (3) The forecast inflation rate provided by Ryder Scott is as shown above and the inflation rates are applied to the operating and investment costs only.

Summary of Pricing and Inflation Rate Assumptions As of March 31, 2009 Forecast Prices and Costs⁽¹⁾ for Feni Field and Block 9						
Year	Feni – Condensate	Feni – Natural Gas	Block 9 – Condensate	Block 9 – Condensate	Block 9 – Natural Gas	Inflation Rate% / Year ⁽²⁾
	(\$US/bbl) ⁽²⁾	(\$US/bbl) ⁽²⁾	Proved (\$US/Mcf)	Proved Plus Probable (\$US/Mcf)	(\$US/bbl) ⁽²⁾	
Forecast						
2010	40.00	1.75	58.64	58.64	2.33	0
2011	40.00	1.75	67.83	67.86	2.33	2
2012	40.00	1.75	72.76	72.83	2.33	2
2013	40.00	1.75	76.67	76.75	2.33	2
2014	40.00	1.75	80.05	80.15	2.33	2
Average thereafter	-	-	91.77	91.76	2.33	2

Notes:

- (1) The condensate and the natural gas prices shown in the table were provided by Ryder Scott based on discussions with Niko, contractual agreements and sales data provided by Niko to Ryder Scott.
- (2) The forecast inflation rate provided by Ryder Scott is as shown above and the inflation rates are applied to the operating and investment costs only.

The Company's weighted average prices received in India prior to a reduction for any profit petroleum amounts payable to the GOI in Fiscal 2009 were US\$58.62 per bbl for oil and US\$5.06 per Mcf for natural gas. Weighted average condensate and natural gas prices received by the Company in Bangladesh prior to a reduction for any profit petroleum amounts payable to the GOB in Fiscal 2009 were US\$79.98 per bbl for condensate and US\$2.29 per Mcf for natural gas, respectively.

Reconciliations of Changes in Reserves

The following table reconciles the changes in the gross reserves estimates for the Company's India properties as at March 31, 2008 and as at March 31, 2009, estimated using forecast prices and costs:

Reconciliation of Company Gross Reserves by Product Type – India Forecast Prices and Costs						
Factors	Light and Medium Oil			Associated and Non-Associated Gas		
	Gross Proved (Mbbbl)	Gross Probable (Mbbbl)	Gross Proved plus Probable (Mbbbl)	Gross Proved (MMcf)	Gross Probable (MMcf)	Gross Proved plus Probable (MMcf)
March 31, 2008	2,986	1,285	4,271	1,011,576	393,531	1,405,108
Extensions & Improved Recovery	–	–	–	–	–	–
Technical Revisions	(4)	(8)	(12)	(16,047)	(1,778)	(17,825)
Discoveries	–	–	–	–	–	–
Acquisitions	–	–	–	–	–	–
Dispositions	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economic Factors	25	(41)	(16)	(9,205)	(727)	(9,932)
Production	(163)	–	(163)	(8,986)	–	(8,986)
March 31, 2009	2,844	1,237	4,081	977,337	391,028	1,368,364

The following table reconciles the changes in the gross reserves estimates for the Company's Bangladesh properties as at March 31, 2008 and as at March 31, 2009, estimated using forecast prices and costs:

Reconciliation of Company Gross Reserves by Product Type – Bangladesh⁽¹⁾			
Forecast Prices and Costs			
Factors	Associated and Non-Associated Gas		
	Gross Proved (MMcf)	Gross Probable (MMcf)	Gross Proved plus Probable (MMcf)
March 31, 2008	168,742	108,751	277,493
Extensions & Improved Recovery	–	–	–
Technical Revisions	(2,124)	167	(1,957)
Discoveries	–	–	–
Acquisitions	–	–	–
Dispositions	–	–	–
Economic Factors	2,168	955	3,123
Production	(21,026)	–	(21,026)
March 31, 2009	147,760	109,873	257,633

Note:

- (1) The above table presents the reserves numbers attributable to those reserves contained in the Ryder Scott Report for the Company's Bangladesh properties. The Ryder Scott Report evaluates the Company's interest in Feni and Block 9 in Bangladesh.

Additional Information Relating to Reserves Data

Undeveloped Reserves

The following table outlines the volumes of proved and probable undeveloped reserves included in the Company's reserves that were first attributed in each of the three most recent financial years and, in the aggregate, before that time:

Undeveloped Reserves First Attributed			
Forecast Prices and Costs			
	Light and Medium Oil	Natural Gas	NGL
	Gross (Mbbbl)	Gross (MMcf)	Gross (Mbbbl)
PROVED UNDEVELOPED			
2009	-	-	-
2008	1,414	441,603	3,604
2007	-	-	-
Prior thereto	-	-	-
PROBABLE UNDEVELOPED			
2009	-	-	-
2008	1,194	6,906	349
2007	-	90,539	105
Prior thereto	-	378,408	-

The proved and probable undeveloped reserves of the Company have been estimated in accordance with procedures and standards contained in the COGE Handbook. The Company has proved and probable undeveloped oil, natural gas and NGL reserves for the D6 Block in India and probable undeveloped natural gas and NGL reserves for Block 9 in Bangladesh. The undeveloped reserves in Block 9 are expected to be fully developed within the next two years. The undeveloped natural gas and NGL reserves for the D6 Block are expected to be developed when Phase II of the Dhirubhai 1 and 3 development and the MA development are complete including drilling additional development wells, an onshore terminal and compression for the Dhirubhai 1 and 3 development and converting oil producing wells to gas producing wells and drilling additional development wells for the MA development. This spending is expected to occur over the next five years as additional wells are drilled and/or completed and compression is added. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – India – D6 Block, India" and "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Bangladesh – Block 9, Bangladesh" for a description of the plan and timing for development. The reserves attributable to Feni in Bangladesh and to the Hazira Field and the Surat Block in India are fully developed.

The Company's undeveloped properties, including NEC-25, the Cauvery Block and the D4 Block in India, Chattak in Bangladesh, the Pakistan Blocks, the Qara Dagh Block in Kurdistan, the Madagascar Block and the Indonesian Blocks do not have reserves, as defined in NI 51-101, attributable to them.

Significant Factors or Uncertainties

For details of important economic factors or significant uncertainties that may affect the components of the reserves data in this Statement, see the Company's management's discussion and analysis of financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for Fiscal 2009 and "Risk Factors" herein.

Future Development Costs

The following tables detail the development costs deducted in the estimation of future net revenue of the Company for its India properties and for its Bangladesh properties attributable to proved reserves and proved plus probable reserves (both estimated using forecast prices and costs):

Future Development Costs – India⁽¹⁾		
Forecast Prices and Costs		
(M\$)	Proved Reserves	Proved Plus Probable Reserves
Year		
2010	228,534	228,467
2011	33,116	33,227
2012	95,467	107,363
2013	36,643	34,622
2014	-	58,905
Remainder	44,294	69,979
Total Undiscounted	438,053	532,563

Note:

- (1) Includes amounts related to the future development and abandonment and reclamation costs.

Future Development Costs – Bangladesh⁽¹⁾		
Forecast Prices and Costs		
(M\$)	Proved Reserves	Proved Plus Probable Reserves
Year		
2010	1,450	1,320
2011	-	520
2012	468	15,450
2013	-	-
2014	-	138
Remainder	1,717	1,831
Total Undiscounted	3,635	19,259

Note:

(1) Includes amounts related to the future development and abandonment and reclamation costs.

The source of funding for future development costs of the Company's reserves is expected to be derived from a combination of current cash balances and cash flow. The interest and other costs of any externally funding are not included in the reserves and future net revenue estimates and would reduce reserves and future net revenue to some degree depending upon the funding sources utilized for future development costs. Management of the Company does not anticipate that interest or other funding costs would make development of any of the Company's properties uneconomic.

Oil and Gas Properties

The following is a description of Niko's principal oil and natural gas properties. Information in respect of gross and net acres, well counts and production information is at March 31, 2009 unless otherwise indicated. The Company has completed all work obligations and relinquishments required under the agreements except as noted under individual properties below.

Terms of the Various Agreements

For all properties, the various agreements (PSC, PSA or JVA) grant the Company the right to conduct petroleum operations that includes oil and gas exploration, development and production activities. The various governments are the sole owners of any oil and gas reserves for the lands under agreement. The various agreements enable the Company and its partners to recover exploration, development and production costs and expenses (as defined in the various agreements) incurred for the block from the oil and gas produced from the block.

For all properties, the Company is required to provide a guarantee, standby letter of credit or a parent company guarantee as a performance security guarantee related to the work commitment in the exploration periods.

Except as specifically noted below for individual properties, all agreements provide for the right to market natural gas to third parties at a market determined price and for the right to market crude oil produced at international prices.

For all properties, should the Company fail to fulfill its obligations or in the event of a major breach of contract, the various governments have the right to terminate the agreement. Unless, specifically provided for in the various agreements, the agreements terminates at the end of the exploration period if no commercial discovery is made.

For all properties, on the expiry or termination of a PSC, PSA or JVA or relinquishment of part of a contract area under a PSC, PSA or JVA, the operator will remove all equipment and installations in a manner agreed with the government pursuant to an abandonment plan and the operator will perform all necessary site restoration activities in accordance with good international petroleum industry practice. In many countries, the Company must fund

these costs over time with an annual contribution to a site restoration fund in accordance with the scheme framed by the government or specified in the respective agreement.

For all properties, at the end of the contract life, title to all moveable and unmoveable assets, including all of the wells, facilities, infrastructure equipment, etc. associated with the fields and blocks and all lands, is returned to the applicable government along with the associated site restoration fund. Although the Company has exclusive right to use the equipment during the field life, the governments of the various countries are deemed to have title to the assets. Where income taxes are assessed, the Company is able to claim deductions for these assets.

India

The Company has an interest in two producing oil and natural gas blocks (the Hazira Field and the D6 Block) and one producing natural gas block (the Surat Block). Production is sold to various industrial users. Natural gas is distributed via owned and non-owned pipelines, Hazira oil is trucked to the customer and D6 oil is produced into a FPSO. During Fiscal 2009, customers purchasing production from India accounted for approximately 52% of total Company revenues. During Fiscal 2008, customers purchasing production from India accounted for approximately 56% of total Company revenues. Markets, distribution methods, significant gas sales contracts and changes to contracts for individual properties are discussed in this section under "Hazira Field, India", "Surat Block, India" and "D6 Block, India".

There are also three non-producing blocks in India. See discussion in this section under "NEC-25, India", "Cauvery Block, India" and "D4 Block, India".

Hazira Field, India

Niko is the operator of the Hazira Field and holds a 33.33% interest therein. The field is located close to several large industries about 25 kilometres southwest of the city of Surat and covers an area of approximately 50 square kilometres on and offshore. The Company's customers are serviced by an 18-inch and an 8-inch gas sales pipeline going from the Hazira Field to residential and industrial users, which pipeline is owned by GGCL. In addition, Niko and GSPC have constructed a 36-inch gas sales pipeline to the local industrial area. Niko has constructed an offshore platform, a land based drilling platform, a gas plant and an oil facility at Hazira.

A GBA designed to allocate natural gas in reservoirs known to be continuous across the boundary between the Hazira Field and the Gauri block went into effect on February 17, 2006. Reserves within these reservoirs are shared equitably between the Gauri and Hazira interest-holders, rather than resorting to unitization. The GBA does not affect Niko's working interest amount in the Hazira Field. The GBA provides an arrangement whereby the gas reserves on each permit are determined periodically and production for zones which exist on both permits is allocated to ensure each party draws the reserves that are attributable to its property.

The Company has three contracts for the sale of natural gas from the Hazira Field. Natural gas contracts are US dollar-denominated and the prices for Fiscal 2009 were the Indian Rupee equivalent of US\$4.50 per Mcf to US\$5.00 per Mcf. Volumes produced in excess of contracted quantities are sold to GSPC at a price of US\$4.87 per Mcf. One of these contracts will be significant for Fiscal 2010. Under the terms of such contract, the Company is required to supply between 18.1 and 24.9 MMcf/d gross (6.0 and 8.5 MMcf/d net) to Gujarat State Energy Generation Ltd. The contract expires in April 2016 and the current price for the contract is US\$4.87 per Mcf. This contract accounted for 12% of total Company revenues for Fiscal 2009 and 6% of total Company revenues for Fiscal 2008.

In accordance with natural gas sales contracts to customers in the vicinity of the Hazira Field, the Company and its joint venture partner at Hazira have committed to certain minimum quantities. Should the Company fail to supply the minimum quantity of natural gas as specified in the contract, the Company may be liable to pay the vendor an approximately equivalent amount. The Company was unable to deliver the minimum quantities up to December 31, 2007. The Company has agreed to provide five times the gas that the Company was unable to deliver to Hazira

customers from D6 volumes. In the event the Company is unable to deliver the volumes, the Company will have a potential liability, which is currently estimated at US\$11.2 million.

Surat Block, India

Niko holds, and is the operator of, a development area in the 24 square kilometre Surat Block located onshore adjacent to the Hazira Field in Gujarat State, India. The natural gas produced from the Surat Block is transferred to the customer via Niko's 6-inch pipeline to the customer's facility. The Company has a gas plant for the Surat Block.

A GBA was signed with Oil and Natural Gas Corporation in October 2004 to allocate the gas equitably from the Bheema # 1 zones.

All of the production from the Surat Block is sold to one customer, GGCL. Under the terms of a contract extension signed with GGCL on March 31, 2005, the negotiated gas price was US\$4.05 per Mcf until June 18, 2007, US\$4.50 per Mcf until April 30, 2008 and US\$5.00 per Mcf until March 31, 2009. The contract has been renewed at a price of US\$5.50/Mcf from April 1, 2009 to March 31, 2010 and US\$6.00 per Mcf until expiry on March 31, 2011. Sales from the Surat Block accounted for 16% of total Company revenues for Fiscal 2009.

D6 Block, India

Niko has a 10% working interest in the D6 Block, with Reliance, the operator, holding the remaining interest. The block is 7,645 square kilometres lying approximately 20 kilometres offshore of the east coast of India.

Production from the MA oil discovery commenced in September 2008. Three of the six wells in the development are on production. The wells are tied into the FPSO, which stores the oil until it is sold on the spot market at a price based on Bonny Light and adjusted for quality. For Fiscal 2009, the price received for oil from the block was US\$47.14/bbl and revenue accounted for 4% of consolidated revenues. Further development includes converting the existing oil producing wells to gas producers and tying these gas wells into the existing Dhirubhai 1 and 3 gas facilities. Budgeted costs of US\$75 million will be spent to tie in three additional wells and, after a period of oil production, to convert some of the oil wells to gas producers and complete tie-ins to allow gas produced to be delivered to the onshore gas processing plant and sold.

Production from the Dhirubhai 1 and 3 gas discoveries commenced in April 2009. Phase I field development includes the drilling and tie-in of 17 wells, construction of an offshore platform and onshore gas plant facilities. A portion of the development costs are incurred after the commencement of production to tie-in wells and the Company's share of the remaining costs to complete phase I of the development are US\$148 million. The natural gas produced from offshore is being received at the onshore facility at Gadimoga and is sold at the inlet to the East-West Pipeline owned by Reliance Gas Transportation Infrastructure Limited.

A development plan has been submitted for nine additional natural gas discoveries. The discoveries are adjacent to the Dhirubhai 1 and 3 gas fields and it is intended that these satellite discoveries be tied back to Dhirubhai 1 and 3 facilities. Costs and timing for commercial production will be disclosed upon approval of the development plan.

The GOI has approved the pricing formula for the sale of gas from the D6 Block, which currently results in a gas price of US\$4.20 per MMBtu (NHV). The Company signed gas sales contracts in March, April and May 2009. There is a six-month commissioning period, after which there is a take or pay clause where the customer must take 80% of the daily contracted quantity (calculated on a monthly basis) or pay the difference. The following is a summary of the contracts:

Industry	Number of contracts	Expiry date	Daily Contracted Quantity (mmscmd)	Contract or Current Price (US\$/MMBtu)
Fertilizer	15	March 31, 2014	15 mmscmd gross (1.5 mmscmd working interest)	4.20
Power	19	March 31, 2014	25 mmscmd gross (2.5 mmscmd working interest)	4.20
Steel	3	March 31, 2014	3 mmscmd gross (0.3 mmscmd working interest)	4.20

Production of natural gas from the D6 Block commenced in April 2009, so there were no natural gas sales from the D6 Block in Fiscal 2009 or Fiscal 2008.

NEC-25, India

Niko has a 10% working interest in NEC-25, with Reliance, the operator, holding the remaining interest. The block comprised 14,535 square kilometres lying offshore adjacent to the east coast of India and 3,780 square kilometres have been relinquished as required under the PSC.

A development plan for six discoveries that have been declared commercial by the Indian regulatory authorities were submitted to the GOI in May 2007. Costs and timing for commercial production will be disclosed upon approval of the development plan.

Cauvery Block, India

The Company holds a 100% interest in the Cauvery Block, which is located onshore southeast India in the State of Tamil Nadu. The block is operated by the Company. The block covers 957 square kilometres and a total of 915 square kilometres of 3D seismic data had been acquired on the block. The Company has drilled two wells on this block, resulting in two dry holes and is currently drilling the third well. The estimated costs for the well that is currently being drilled and the remaining wells required under the Phase I work commitment is US\$22.4 million. The Company has received a six month extension to the exploration period to January 2009. Depending on exploration results, the Company may apply to the government for another extension to the first exploration period in order to have sufficient time to complete the work commitment and assess the potential of the block. The Indian government has historically granted extensions, when required; however, there is a risk that the extension may not be granted to the Company and the rights to continue exploration on the block would cease.

D4 Block, India

Niko has a 15% participating interest in the D4 Block, with Reliance, being the operator, holding the remaining interest. The D4 Block is 17,050 square kilometres and lies offshore of the east coast of India in the Mahanadi Basin. Under Phase I commitments, 2,366 kilometres of 2D seismic were acquired over the block. Processing of the seismic and interpretation of the data have been completed. Based on the analysis, a further 2,800-kilometre 2D seismic program and a 3,600-square-kilometre 3D seismic program have been completed. Once the new seismic data is processed and interpreted, initial drilling locations will be selected, possibly as early as the fourth calendar quarter of 2009 to fulfill the Phase I work commitment. The estimated cost of the remaining Phase I work commitment is US\$73.0 million (US\$11.0 million net to the Company). Originally, the work commitment was to

be completed by September 2009, however, the Government of India is in the process of approving a blanket three-year extension for this and other deep-water blocks, prompted by the shortage of deep-water drilling rigs.

Terms of the Indian PSCs

Under the terms of the PSCs for the Hazira Field, the Surat Block, the Cauvery Block and the three offshore blocks, the GOI is the sole owner of the oil and gas reserves thereunder except in regard to that part of the reserves where the title has passed to the Company and its partner in accordance with the provisions of the PSC. The material terms of the PSCs are the same for each of the fields and blocks, except as noted below.

(a) Hazira Field

In addition to the terms referred to under "Terms of the Various Agreements" in this section, the PSC for the Hazira Field provides:

- (i) for a formula for sharing in the profit oil and gas produced from the field between the Company, its partner and the GOI. The formula is applied on a field-by-field basis. Under the terms of the PSC, the GOI is entitled to a 10% interest in the profit oil and gas produced once the Company and its partner have recovered 100% of their investment in the field from after tax cash flows. The GOI entitlement escalates on a formula basis with the GOI share of profit oil and gas increasing as a greater multiple of the investment is recovered by Niko and its partner according to the following investment multiples:

Investment Multiple	GOI Entitlement
0.0 – 1.0	0%
1.0 – 1.5	10%
1.5 – 2.0	20%
2.0 – 2.5	25%
2.5 – 3.0	35%
>3.0	40%

The formula for the GOI entitlement is calculated on a cumulative basis at March 31 each year and the results of the calculation establish the sharing ratio for the next year. The GOI entitlement is applied to the pre-tax cash flow from the field after deducting allocated overhead and capital expenditures;

- (ii) that the Company pay a royalty of 10% of the wellhead price for natural gas and cess, which is an education tax in India, at the rate of 481 Indian rupees per metric tonne and 900 Indian rupees per metric tonne respectively for crude oil and condensate; and
- (iii) for a term of 25 years from September 1994 with provision for the GOI to grant a maximum of two five-year extensions.

(b) Surat Block

In addition to the terms referred to under "Terms of the Various Agreements" in this section, the PSC for the Surat Block provides:

- (i) for a formula for sharing in the profit oil and gas produced from the block between the Company and the GOI. Under the terms of the PSC, the GOI is entitled to a 20% interest in the profit oil and gas produced. There is no profit oil and gas until the Company has recovered 100% of its investment in the block. The GOI entitlement escalates on a formula basis with the GOI share of profit oil and gas increasing as a greater multiple of the investment is recovered by Niko according to the following investment multiples:

Investment Multiple	GOI Entitlement
0.0 – 1.5	20%
1.5 – 2.0	30%
2.0 – 2.5	40%
2.5 – 3.0	50%
>3.0	60%

The formula for the GOI entitlement is calculated on a cumulative basis at March 31 each year and the results of the calculation establish the sharing ratio for the next year. The GOI entitlement is applied to the pre-tax cash flow from the field after deducting allocated overhead and capital expenditures.

- (ii) that the Company is required to pay a royalty of 12.5% of the wellhead value of crude oil and 10% of the wellhead value of natural gas;
- (iii) that the Company is entitled to a seven-year tax holiday commencing from the first year of commercial production, however, there is a minimum alternative tax. There is currently uncertainty in India regarding the applicability of this tax holiday to natural gas; and
- (iv) that, subject to earlier termination of the PSC, the PSC for a block expires when the license for the block expires.

(c) Offshore Blocks

In addition to the terms referred to under "Terms of the Various Agreements" above, the PSCs for the 3 offshore blocks in which Niko has retained an interest provide:

- (i) a formula for sharing in the profit oil and gas produced from the blocks between the Company, Reliance and the GOI. The formula is applied on a field-by-field basis. Under the terms of the PSCs for NEC-25 and the D6 Block, 90% of revenue can be used to recover costs and under the terms of the PSC for the D4 Block, 80% of revenue can be used to recover costs. Under the terms of the PSCs, the GOI is entitled to a 10% interest in the profit oil and gas produced if the Company and Reliance have recovered less than 150% of their investment in the field from cash flows. The GOI entitlement escalates on a formula basis with the GOI share increasing as a greater multiple of the investment is recovered according to the following investment multiples for NEC-25, the D6 Block and the D4 Block:

Investment Multiple	GOI Entitlement		
	NEC-25	D6	D4
0.0 – 1.5	10%	10%	10%
1.5 – 2.0	16%	16%	10%
2.0 – 2.5	22%	28%	19%
2.5 – 3.0	28%	85%	70%
3.0 – 3.5	70%	85%	76%
>3.5	70%	85%	85%

The formula for the GOI entitlement on all the PSCs is calculated on a cumulative basis at March 31 each year and the results of the calculation establish the sharing ratio for the next year. The GOI entitlement is applied to the pre-tax cash flow from the field after deducting allocated overhead and capital expenditures;

- (ii) that each block has a specific work commitment, which would include reprocessing existing 2D seismic, shooting new 2D and 3D seismic and drilling one, two or three wells

in the first phase of the work commitment. Subsequent work phases are optional and would include additional seismic and wells. In the event that, at the end of the relevant phase of work commitment or at the time of the early termination of the PSC by the GOI for any reason whatsoever, the minimum work program under the PSC for that phase has not been fulfilled, the Company is required to pay to the GOI its participating working interest share of the amount of funds that would be required to complete such minimum work program;

- (iii) that the Company is required to relinquish up to 25% of the block at the end of the first phase of the work commitment. At the end of the subsequent work phases, the Company loses up to an additional 25% of the block in the case of NEC-25 and the D6 Block and 50% of the block in the case of the D4 Block. In all cases, the Company can retain the development and discovery areas;
- (iv) that the Company is required to pay royalty to the GOI for offshore areas falling in water depth greater than four hundred metres of 5% of the wellhead value of crude oil and natural gas for the first seven years from the date of commencement of production in the field and 10% thereafter;
- (v) that the Company is entitled to a seven-year tax holiday commencing from the first year of commercial production, however, there is a minimum alternative tax. There is currently uncertainty in India regarding the applicability of this tax holiday to natural gas; and
- (vi) that, subject to earlier termination of the PSC, the PSC for a block expires when the license for the block expires.

(d) Cauvery Block

In addition to the terms referred to under "Terms of the Various Agreements" above, the PSC for the Cauvery Block provides:

- (i) for a formula for sharing in the profit oil and gas produced from the block between the Company and the GOI. Under the terms of the PSC, 90% of the revenue can be used to recover costs. The remaining profit oil and gas is shared with the GOI being entitled to 10% of the profit oil and gas produced if the Company has recovered between 0% and 150% of its investment in the field from cash flows. The GOI entitlement escalates on a formula basis with the GOI share of profit oil and gas increasing as a greater multiple of the investment is recovered by Niko according to the following investment multiples:

Investment Multiple	GOI Entitlement
0.0 – 1.5	10%
1.5 – 2.0	20%
2.0 – 3.0	30%
3.0 – 3.5	35%
>3.5	50%

The formula for the GOI entitlement is calculated on a cumulative basis at March 31 each year and the results of the calculation establish the sharing ratio for the next year. The GOI entitlement is applied to the pre-tax cash flow from the field after deducting allocated overhead and capital expenditures;

- (ii) that the Company is required to reprocess the existing 2D seismic, shoot 550 square kilometres of 3D seismic and drill five wells in the first phase of the work commitment.

- (iii) that the Company is required to (i) relinquish 25% of the block at the end of the first phase of the work commitment, (ii) relinquish 50% of the block at the end of the second phase of the work commitment, and (iii) relinquish all areas but the development and discovery areas at the end of the third phase of the work commitment;
- (iv) that the Company is required to pay a royalty of 12.5% of the wellhead value of crude oil and 10% of the wellhead value of natural gas;
- (v) that the Company is entitled to a seven-year tax holiday commencing from the first year of commercial production, however, there is a minimum alternative tax. There is currently confusion in India regarding the applicability of this tax holiday to natural gas; and
- (vi) that the PSC for the onshore block expires when the license for the block expires.

In addition to the above, the GSPC JOA governs the operation of the Hazira Field and the Reliance JOAs govern the operations of the D6 Block, NEC-25 and the D4 Block. The GSPC JOA provides for the respective participating interests of the Company and GSPC in the Hazira Field, the sharing of costs associated with the development of, and production from, the fields in accordance with their respective interests and covers such items as appointing the Company operator and outlining the duties of the operator, how the oil and gas produced is sold, accounting procedures and expenditure approval levels. The Reliance JOAs cover similar items with respect to the D6 Block, NEC-25 and the D4 Block as between the Company and Reliance.

Bangladesh

The Company has an interest in two producing natural gas fields (Feni and Block 9) in Bangladesh and on field that is not producing (Chattak). During Fiscal 2009, one customer purchasing production from Bangladesh accounted for approximately 48% of total Company revenues in the consolidated financial statements and approximately 43% during Fiscal 2008. Distribution methods, significant gas sales contracts and changes to gas sales contracts for individual properties are discussed in this section under "Chattak and Feni, Bangladesh" and "Block 9, Bangladesh". Chattak is not fully developed and future drilling activities at this field have been postponed pending resolution of overdue payments for gas owed to the Company by the GOB. Natural gas demand exceeds the current production levels in Bangladesh and as a result, the Company is able to sell all of the Feni and Block 9 production to the GOB.

Chattak and Feni, Bangladesh

NRBL is the operator of Chattak and Feni. Chattak is 376 square kilometres and Feni is a total of 43 square kilometres in size.

The Company completed a successful three-well drilling program in Feni during Fiscal 2005. Production from the field commenced in November 2004. Further activity has been pending resolution of overdue payment for gas owed to NRBL by the GOB.

The Company supplies all of the gas production from Feni to Petrobangla, the GOB state oil and gas company. From the commencement of production in November 2004 to December 2006, the Company supplied gas without a sales contract in place and without a negotiated gas price. During this time, the Company received US\$4 million of the total outstanding receivable for gas delivered. The Company and Petrobangla signed a gas purchase and sale agreement in December 2006 with a price of US\$1.75/Mcf with application to all volumes delivered prior to signing the agreement, which expires in November 2009 and may be extended upon mutual agreement by the parties. As at March 31, 2009, Petrobangla owed US\$26.8 million to NRBL for gas delivered to Petrobangla from Feni, which remains unpaid. In addition, Petrobangla continues to not pay for gas that is continuing to be delivered to it from Feni. Petrobangla has agreed to provide access to its pipeline system on a priority basis for distribution of the natural gas, provided that there is spare capacity at that time. The Company has gas plants at Feni and Chattak.

The Company finished construction of a natural gas processing facility at Chattak capable of handling up to 50 MMcf/d of natural gas. A 16-inch diameter, 16-kilometre pipeline from Chattak to the tie-in point with Jalalabad Gas Transmission and Distribution Ltd. was completed, pressure tested, and is ready for use. In addition, the preparation of the drilling location for the Chattak East well has been completed. Currently, the Company does not have a well in Chattak that is capable of production. The Company's drilling program has been postponed pending further developments in the various disputes between the Company and the GOB.

Under the terms of the JVA:

- (a) the term of the JVA is the lesser of 20 years and the full economic producible life of the gas fields;
- (b) NRBL and BAPEX agree to sell any production to Petrobangla or its designee(s) under the terms of a sales agreement to be entered into and at a price to be determined through negotiations at that time; if Petrobangla is not able to provide a market for the production from the fields, NRBL and BAPEX have agreed to sell their production to the Bangladesh domestic market;
- (c) a formula is established for sharing the oil and gas produced between NRBL and BAPEX. Under the formula, BAPEX is entitled to 20% of the production from the Chattak West and Feni fields and 25% of the production from the Chattak East field until such time as NRBL has recovered up to 100% of its investment (determined in accordance with the formula), respectively, in those fields; BAPEX's share of production thereafter escalates as a greater multiple of the investment is recovered according to the following investment multiples for Chattak West and Feni and Chattak East:

Investment Multiple	BAPEX Entitlement	
	Chattak West and Feni	Chattak East
0.0 – 1.0	20%	25%
1.0 – 1.5	25%	30%
1.5 – 2.0	32%	38%
2.0 – 3.0	38%	43%
> 3.0	42%	50%

Three legal proceedings were brought against the Company as a result of the uncontrolled releases of natural gas described above, as further described below:

During the year ended March 31, 2006, the Company was named as a defendant in a lawsuit that was filed in Texas by a number of plaintiffs who claim to have suffered damages as a result of the uncontrolled release of natural gas that occurred at the Chattak-2 well in Bangladesh in January and June 2005. Total damages sought were in excess of US\$250 million. On July 7, 2006, a court hearing was held to hear the Company's pleadings for the lawsuit to be dismissed due to lack of jurisdiction in Texas. The court in Texas dismissed the lawsuit on August 25, 2006 and the plaintiffs appealed the dismissal. The appeal was heard on July 10, 2007 and the appeal has been dismissed. The plaintiff did not appeal the second dismissal. As a result, the lawsuit has effectively been dismissed with no financial impact to the Company.

During the year ended March 31, 2006, a group of petitioners in Bangladesh (the petitioners) filed a writ with the Supreme Court of Bangladesh (the "**Supreme Court**") against various parties, including NRBL. The petitioners are requesting the following of the Supreme Court with respect to the Company:

- (a) that the JVA be declared null and illegal;
- (b) that the GOB realize from NRBL compensation for the natural gas lost as a result of the uncontrolled flow problems as well as for damage to the surrounding area;

- (c) that Petrobangla withhold future payments to NRBL relating to production from Feni (US\$26.8 million as at March 31, 2009); and
- (d) that all bank accounts of NRBL maintained in Bangladesh be frozen.

At one point during Fiscal 2006, an order was issued by the Supreme Court in this lawsuit freezing the Bangladesh bank accounts of NRBL. This freeze was lifted shortly thereafter, allowing NRBL to make payments to Bangladesh vendors and suppliers. However, the Supreme Court has provided that payments by NRBL to vendors and suppliers outside of Bangladesh are prohibited. The Company's foreign vendors are being paid from bank accounts of NRBL that are located outside of the country. If this legal action is determined negatively against NRBL, it could result in the cancellation of NRBL's interest in Feni and Chattak as well as imposition of relief against it as detailed in (b), (c) and (d) above which could have an adverse impact on NRBL and its financial position. The hearing has been conducted in several sessions during 2009 and has not yet concluded.

During the year ended March 31, 2006, NRBL received a letter from Petrobangla, a Bangladesh crown corporation, demanding compensation related to the uncontrolled flow problems that occurred in Chattak in January and June 2005. The Company has repeatedly offered to resolve the claims through international arbitration. Petrobangla went so far as to appoint local counsel to represent it in the proposed arbitration. The Company's counsel and Petrobangla's counsel met in Dhaka in September of 2007 to discuss an agreement that would facilitate such an arbitration. The arbitration agreement was never concluded.

On May 29, 2008, NRBL received a legal notice dated May 27, 2008 from a Dhaka law firm representing Petrobangla. The legal notice appeared to be the equivalent of a demand letter under Canadian law. The legal notice referenced the JVA. The operations at Chattak at the time of the blowouts were being conducted pursuant to the JVA. The legal notice asserted that NRBL was wholly liable for alleged losses from the Chattak blowouts, which were asserted to be in the amount of 748.09 Crore Taka (approximately US\$106 million). The claimed losses were set out in Crore Taka as follows: for gas burnt at Chattak – 36.9; for sub-surface loss at Chattak – 72.52; for environmental loss – 84.56; and for additional sub-surface loss at Chattak – 554.08.

The legal notice sought payment from NRBL in the full amount within 15 days, failing which legal action would be pursued. NRBL replied to Petrobangla's counsel within the 15-day period denying liability for the blowouts, denying that damages as alleged had been suffered and asserting that the claims were properly the subject of arbitration, not a court action.

On June 17, 2008, NRBL learned that a lawsuit had been commenced against it and other parties by GOB and Petrobangla. The 77 page pleading seeks damages from the defendants, jointly and severally, in the amount of 748.09 Crore Taka, together with interest at 12% per annum from June 24, 2005 until satisfaction of any judgement. The first hearing date was set for July 31, 2008 in Dhaka. There have been a number of court dates since then, but the proceedings have continually adjourned pending service of the pleading on all defendants. NRBL is consulting with its counsel with respect to its response to the Bangladesh action once service is properly effected. The responses may include bringing an application to the Bangladesh court to stay the action on the grounds that the claims are properly the subject of arbitration agreements.

The Company remains of the view that NRBL has a good defence to the claims on the merits. It is also strongly of the view that the claims ought to be resolved through international arbitration in accordance with the agreements between NRBL, Petrobangla and BAPEX, and it intends to vigorously assert that position. The ultimate resolution of the claims and the timing of such resolution is uncertain. Any negative result to the Company and NRBL could have an adverse impact on the Company and its financial position.

The Company and the GOB had previously agreed to settle the GOB's claims through arbitration conducted in Bangladesh. The Company's position is that BAPEX expressly agreed in the JVA to resolve disputes through international arbitration and that that agreement is binding on the GOB, which vested all of its interest in the subject properties in BAPEX. The Company will actively defend itself against the lawsuit if it proceeds. This process could take in excess of three years. There can be no assurances as to the outcome of the lawsuit, or alternative arbitration,

and the associated cost to the Company. Any negative result to NRBL could have an adverse impact on the Company and its financial position.

See "Risk Factors".

Block 9, Bangladesh

The Company has a 60% interest in Block 9, which covers approximately 6,880 square kilometres of land in the central area of Bangladesh surrounding Dhaka. In May 2006, as the natural gas facilities were being completed, long-term test production of the third well drilled by the Company in Block 9, Bangora-1, commenced. Bangora-2, Bangora-3, Bangora-4 and Bangora-5 were drilled in Fiscal 2007. Future costs to fully develop the proved reserves include the costs to tie-in an existing well.

The Company has signed a GPSA including a price of US\$2.34 per MMbtu, which expires 25 years from approval of the development plan. Petrobangla is the sole purchaser of natural gas production. The sales delivery point is at Niko's facility and thereafter is the responsibility of Petrobangla and is transported via its Trunk Pipeline.

The Block 9 PSC provides:

- (a) a production period of 20 years for oil production and of 25 years for natural gas production;
- (b) for the relinquishment of 25% of the block at the end of each of the initial exploration period and the first successive exploration period;
- (c) for the sharing in the profit oil and gas among the participants and Petrobangla; under the terms of the Block 9 PSC (i) during the period of cost recovery, the contractor shall recover all costs and expenses in respect of all exploration, development, production, operations and related activities to the extent of a maximum of 40% of per calendar year of all available oil and 45% per calendar year of all available natural gas, available condensate and available NGL; on the remaining 55%, the participants are entitled to declining percentages in accordance with the following:

Profit Natural Gas		
Production tranches	Petrobangla Entitlement	
	During Cost Recovery	After Cost Recovery
Up to 150 MMcf/d	61%	66%
Portion in excess of 150 MMcf/d and up to 300 MMcf/d	66%	72.5%
Portion in excess of 300 MMcf/d and up to 450 MMcf/d	72.5%	78%
Portion in excess of 450 MMcf/d and up to 600 MMcf/d	75%	82.5%
Portion in excess of 600 MMcf/d	82%	85%

Profit Oil		
Production tranches	Petrobangla Entitlement	
	During Cost Recovery	After Cost Recovery
Up to 10,000 bbls/d	67%	70%
Portion in excess of 10,000 bbls/d and up to 25,000 bbls/d	70%	75%
Portion in excess of 25,000 bbls/d and up to 50,000 bbls/d	75%	80%
Portion in excess of 50,000 bbls/d and up to 100,000 bbls/d	80%	85%
Portion in excess of 100,000 bbls/d	83%	90%

- (d) that the participants may produce annually a total volume of natural gas equal to up to 7.5% of the proven plus probable recoverable natural gas reserves on the lands as determined by the Society of Petroleum Engineers. Petrobangla has a right of first refusal to acquire the participants' share of natural gas production for domestic consumption in Bangladesh subject to terms to be negotiated at that time provided that the price to be paid by Petrobangla will be determined quarterly and will be 75% of the arithmetic daily average of Platt's Oilgram quotations of high sulphur fuel oil 180 CST, FOB Singapore for the six months ending on the last day of the second month preceding the start of the particular quarter (with a floor price, prior to the 25% discount, of US\$70 per metric tonne and a ceiling price, prior to 25% discount, of US\$120 per metric tonne) plus a further 1% discount; in the event that Petrobangla does not exercise its right of first refusal, the participants will be entitled to sell their share of natural gas production in the Bangladesh domestic market provided that the sale price is not less than the discounted price referred to above; subject to Petrobangla's right of first refusal, the participants will also have the right to export their share and Petrobangla's share of natural gas production in the form of liquefied natural gas; the price at which liquefied natural gas may be sold for export must be approved by Petrobangla;
- (e) for the right for Petrobangla to require the participants to provide, for the period of time required by Petrobangla, the participants' share of oil production (up to 25% of the participants' share of profit oil) to the Bangladesh domestic market at a price to be determined in accordance with the market at that time discounted by 15% (provided that such final price must be approved by Petrobangla); and
- (f) for the payment by the participants to Petrobangla of (i) production bonuses increasing from US\$1 million to US\$5 million as production on the Block 9 lands increases from 10,000 bopd to 100,000 bopd of oil and from 75 MMcf/d to 600 MMcf/d of natural gas, (ii) contributions to research and development activities of Petrobangla equal to US\$0.03/bbl of the participant's share of profit oil, condensate and NGL production and US\$0.004/Mcf of the participant's share of profit natural gas (which amounts are not recoverable as costs).

In addition, the joint operating agreement entered into by CIBL, Tullow Bangladesh Limited, Texaco Exploration Asia Pacific Regional Pathfinding Inc., Petrobangla and the GOB in April 2001 (the "**Block 9 JOA**") provides for the respective participating interests of the participants under the Block 9 PSC and the sharing of costs associated in the development of and production from the lands and covers such further items as the appointment of the operator, outlining the duties of the operator, accounting procedures and expenditure level approvals. The Block 9 JOA provides that Tullow Bangladesh Limited is currently the operator. Under the terms of the Block 9 JOA, at any time

after the first date of declaration of a commercial discovery, Niko may elect to become operator under the Block 9 PSC.

Pakistan

Niko Resources (Pakistan) Limited holds and operates the Pakistan Blocks, which are located in the Arabian Sea near the city of Karachi and cover an area of 9,920 square kilometres. The Company has acquired 2,000 square kilometres of 3D seismic on the blocks. Processing of the 3D data should be completed in the third calendar quarter of 2009 with interpretation and possible selection of drilling locations to follow.

The material provisions of the PSAs for the Pakistan Blocks include:

- (a) for the right to market petroleum produced, except as required by GHPL to meet domestic demand, into the domestic market or elsewhere at the Company's election;
- (b) for the fixing of royalties payable to the GHPL at 0% of the value of petroleum produced for the first 48 months of commercial production, 5% for the next 12 months, 10% for the next 12 months and 12.5% thereafter;
- (c) for a formula for sharing in the profit oil and gas produced from the block between the Company and the GHPL; under the terms of the PSAs, 85% of the revenue can be used to recover costs; the remaining profit oil and gas is shared with the GHPL being entitled to a percentage of the profit oil and gas produced depending on the type of production (crude oil/liquefied petroleum gas ("LPG")/condensate or natural gas), production level and depth of the wells; the GHPL entitlement escalates on a formula basis with the GHPL share of profit oil and gas increasing as cumulative production increases and is at higher rates when the wells are < 4,000 metres, > 4,000 metres below sea level or ultra-deep:

GHPL Entitlement		
< 4,000 Metres below sea level		
Cumulative production (MMBbl)	Crude Oil/LPG/Condensate	Natural Gas
0 – 100	20%	10%
> 100 – 200	25%	15%
> 200 – 400	40%	35%
> 400 – 800	60%	50%
> 800 – 1200	70%	70%
>1200	80%	80%

GHPL Entitlement		
> 4,000 Metres below sea level (but not Ultra Deep Grid Areas)		
Cumulative production (MMBbl)	Crude Oil/LPG/Condensate	Natural Gas
0 – 200	5%	5%
> 200 – 400	10%	10%
> 400 – 800	25%	25%
> 800 – 1200	35%	35%
> 1200 – 2400	50%	50%
>2400	70%	70%

GHPL Entitlement		
Ultra Deep Grid Areas		
Cumulative production (MMBbl)	Crude Oil/LPG/Condensate	Natural Gas
0 – 300	5%	5%
> 300 – 600	10%	10%
> 600 – 1200	25%	25%
> 1200 – 2400	35%	35%
> 2400 – 3600	45%	45%
> 3600	60%	60%

the formula for the GHPL entitlement is calculated monthly on a cumulative basis and the results of the calculation establish the sharing ratio for the next month; where production is from multiple

depths, a formula is applied to calculate a weighted average; the GHPL entitlement is applied to the cash flow from the block;

- (d) for an initial term of five years with two renewal periods of two years each;
- (e) that the Company is required under Phase I to perform a minimum work obligation of US\$1 million for each block in the first and second contract years; Phase II includes a minimum work obligation of US\$1.6 million for each block in the third and fourth contract years; Phase III includes a minimum work obligation of US\$3 million for each block in the fifth contract year; the minimum work in Phase I and Phase II must include drilling an exploratory well in any of the four blocks;
- (f) for a lease for a period not exceeding 25 years upon approval of each development plan for a commercial discovery;
- (g) that the Company is required to (i) relinquish 20% of the block at the end of the initial term of the license, (ii) relinquish not less than 30% of the block on or before the end of the first renewal period, (iii) relinquish not less than 30% of the block on or before the end of the second renewal period, and (iv) relinquish all areas but the development and discovery areas on or before the expiration of the exploration period;
- (h) that the Company, within 10 years of the commencement of commercial production from each commercial discovery, relinquish from the development area all sections which do not cover wholly or partially the vertical projections to the surface reservoirs from which Commercial production is being obtained; and
- (i) the Company is required to pay income taxes of 40% in accordance with the *Income Tax Ordinance, 2001*; these income tax laws allow costs incurred for one block to be deducted against profits of another block for the business of petroleum.

Kurdistan

Nikoresources (Kurdistan) Ltd. operates the Qara Dagħ Block in Sulaymaniyah Governorate of the Federal Region of Kurdistan in Iraq, which block covers approximately 846 square kilometres onshore. The Company currently has a 36% interest in the block. A 2D seismic program is currently being acquired on the block. Processing has commenced and interpretation will follow once final processed sections are received. Drilling is expected to commence thereafter.

The KRG has a 20% interest (“KRG interest”), the costs of which are born proportionately by the remaining joint venture partners.

The KRG also has the option of assigning to a third party, or third parties, (“third party”) a 20% interest (“third party interest”) in the PSC. Should the party receive this interest, the party is required to reimburse the joint venture partners for the costs incurred related to the third party interest except for any signature bonus or capacity building bonus. If the third party fails to reimburse the joint venture partners or the KRG fails to complete the assignment within the periods stipulated in the PSC, then the PSC provides that the KRG has committed to take the contractual and procedural measures required to reassume 50% of the interest and transfer to the joint venture partners the remaining 50% of the interest.

The Company currently holds a 36% interest and carries the proportionate cost for the KRG's interest resulting in a 45% cost interest. In the event the third party interest is assigned, the Company will have a 27% working interest and will continue to carry the proportionate cost for the KRG's interest resulting in a 33.75% cost interest. In the

event that the third party interest is reassumed by the joint venture, the Company will have a 31.5% interest and will continue to carry the proportionate cost for the KRG's interest resulting in a 45% cost interest. Under any of the three circumstances, the Company is able to recover the Company's costs and costs paid for the KRG's carried interest.

In additions to the provisions discussed above, the material provisions of the PSC for the Qara Dagh Block provide:

- (a) for the right to market crude oil produced, except as required to meet Kurdistan Region internal consumption requirements for crude oil;
- (b) for the KRG to have the right to review and approve natural gas sales contracts;
- (c) for a capacity building bonus, production bonuses, annual acreage rental and predetermined funding to the KRG for costs for recruitment or secondment of personnel, training costs, community support and an environment fund;
- (d) for the fixing of royalties payable to the KRG at 10% of the value of petroleum produced;
- (e) for a formula used to calculate the sharing in the profit oil and gas produced from the block between the Company and the KRG; under the terms of the PSC, 43% of crude oil revenue and 53% of gas revenue can be used to recover costs; the Company receives a share in the remaining profit oil and gas increasing as a greater multiple of the investment is recovered by the joint venture, depending on product type, in accordance with the following formulas:

Profit Crude Oil		Profit Natural Gas	
Investment Multiple	Joint Venture	Investment Multiple	Joint Venture
0 – 1	32%	0 – 1	38%
>1 – 2.25	$\frac{32 - (17) * (IM - 1)}{(1.25)}$	>1 – 2.75	$\frac{38 - (18) * (IM - 1)}{(1.75)}$
> 2.25	15%	>2.75	20%

where "IM" equals the investment multiple calculated as cumulative revenues divided by cumulative costs allowable for cost recovery; the formula for the KRG entitlement is calculated biannually on a cumulative basis and the results of the calculation establish the sharing ratio for the next period; the KRG entitlement is applied to the cash flow from the block excluding royalties, signature bonus, capacity building bonus and any production bonuses as deductions;

- (f) for an initial exploration period of five years, extendable on a yearly basis up to a maximum period of seven contract years;
- (g) that the Company is required under the first sub-period of the initial term (three contract years, extendable) to perform geological and geophysical studies, a data search on the contract area, field work, 300 line kilometres of 2D seismic and drill one exploration well. The second sub-period of the initial term (two contract years, extendable) includes further 2D or 3D seismic data and drilling one exploration well;
- (h) for a development period for a commercial discovery of 20 years; if commercial production is still possible at the end of its development period, the Company is entitled to an extension of 5 years; and
- (i) that the Company is required to (i) relinquish 25% of the net area at the end of the initial term with the net area being determined by subtracting the production areas from the initial contract area, (ii)

relinquish 25% of the net area at the end of the first extension period, (iii) relinquish all areas that are not production areas at the end of the exploration period.

Indonesia

In November 2008, the Company signed four PSCs for interests in four deep-water offshore exploration blocks covering almost 20,000 square kilometres. The Company will operate two of the blocks, the Southeast Ganal Block and the West Sageri Block, and will earn a 51% working interest. The Seram Block and South Matindok Block will not be operated by the Company and the Company will earn a 25% working interest therein.

In November 2008, the Company also acquired the right to earn a 25% interest in another deep-water offshore exploration block, the Bone Bay Block, covering almost 5,000 square kilometres.

In May 2009, the Company acquired rights in additional offshore exploration blocks: the Kofiau Block, the Kumawa Block and the Cendrawasih Block. The Company will operate the Kofiau Block, and will earn a 66.67% working interest. In the Kumawa Block and Cendrawasih Block, which will not be operated by the Company, the Company will earn a 25% working interest.

The firm work commitment for each Indonesian Block includes a signature bonus, seismic and drilling one exploratory well within the first three years. The current estimate of the cost of the firm work commitment for all blocks combined is US\$209 million (US\$119 million net to the Company).

The material terms of the PSCs for the Indonesian Blocks provide:

- (a) for the right during the term of the PSC to freely lift, dispose of and export its share of petroleum, except as noted in (b) and (c) below, and retain abroad the proceeds obtained therefrom;
- (b) for the supply of crude oil to the domestic market, commencing five years after first delivery of crude oil, in the amount of 25% of the contractors entitlement of crude oil produced at 25% of the weighted average price of crude sold during the year, which may be increased depending on the cost to produce;
- (c) for the supply of natural gas to the domestic market in the amount of 25% of the quantity of natural gas proven reserves multiplied by the contractor's entitlement;
- (d) for a term of 30 years, including an initial term of the exploration period of 6 years, extendable for a maximum period of 4 years;
- (e) for the sharing in the profit petroleum among the participants and BPMIGAS; under the terms of the PSC, 80% of revenues can be used to recover costs; on the revenues not used to recover costs, BPMIGAS' share is as follows:

Profit Natural Gas	Profit Crude Oil
28.57%	37.5%

- (f) that the Company is required to (i) relinquish 25% of the contract area after the first three contract years and an additional 15% if the firm commitment has not been completed, (ii) relinquish additional areas in excess of 20% of the original contract area before the end of the sixth contract year, and (iii) the entire contract area if exploration effort is not continued beyond the sixth contract year;
- (g) work obligations for 2D seismic acquisition and drilling one exploratory well in the first three contract years and 3D seismic acquisition and processing and drilling exploratory well in the next three contract years;

- (h) for production bonuses and other specified fees; and
- (i) for the obligation to offer a 10% participating interest in return for reimbursement of the 10% of costs incurred to a local government owned company or Indonesian national company at the time the first development plan is approved by the GRI.

Madagascar

In October 2008, the Niko Resources (Overseas VIII) Limited farmed-in on a PSC for a property located off the west coast of Madagascar covering an area of approximately 16,845 square kilometres. The farm-in agreement and appointment of Niko Resources (Overseas VIII) Limited as operator have been approved by OMNIS, which acts on behalf of the Republic of Madagascar. Niko Resources (Overseas VIII) Limited will earn a 75% participating interest in the Madagascar Block and any extension, renewal or amendment thereto. In January 2009, the Company agreed to assign 10% of its interest in the Madagascar Block to Black Gold Energy, LLC resulting in Niko having a 65% participating interest in the block.

Terms of the Farmout Agreement

The material terms of the Farmout Agreement provide:

- (a) that the Company is required to reimburse Farmor for 50% of the costs incurred prior to the effective date of the Farmout Agreement;
- (b) that the Company is required to fund 100% of the costs required by the Madagascar PSC in conjunction with the first, second and third exploration phases, subject to certain limits specified the farmout agreement;
- (c) that, with respect to drilling costs in excess of a predetermined limit, the Farmor has the option to:
 - (i) share costs in excess of the predetermined limit in the ratio of 25% Farmor and 75% Farmee; or
 - (ii) require the Company to fund 100% of such costs and allow the Company to earn additional an participating interest; or
 - (iii) require the Company to fund 100% of such costs and the well would be treated as though it were an exclusive operation; and
- (d) that in the event the Company does not fulfill its obligations in the time periods outlined in the Farmout Agreement, which are based on the expiry periods of the Exploration phases in the PSC, the Company's participating interest be reassigned to the Farmor.

Terms of the Madagascar PSC

The material terms of the PSC for the Madagascar Block provide:

- (a) for an exploration phase covering a period of eight years, extendable up to two years if the total evaluation of the block and up to five years to carry out a feasibility study of a natural gas discovery with the approval of OMNIS;
- (b) for an exploitation period for a commercial discovery of 25 years; in the event the commercial discovery is predominantly natural gas, the exploitation period will be 35 years; if commercial production is still possible at the end of the exploitation period, the Company can apply for a five-year extension with respect to oil production and a 10-year extension with respect to natural gas production;
- (c) for the right to freely market natural gas from the block on terms approved by OMNIS, except as required to meet Madagascar domestic consumption requirements; the formula for fixing the natural gas price shall be established according to applicable Malagasy law having regard to the volume of sales, quality, distance to market and transport and distribution costs;

- (d) for the sale of oil at the average of the FOB price per barrel, in U.S. dollars, obtained by the parties from the commercial sales of oil during the preceding month;
- (e) for work obligations (i) for the first exploration phase, which expires September 2010, an aeromag data acquisition of 25,000 line kilometres, 2,000 kilometres equivalent of 2D marine seismic, and acquisition of existing geological and geophysical data and interpreting the data acquired, (ii) for the second exploration phase, which expires September 2012, including a combination of 2D and 3D seismic and acquiring existing G&G data and interpreting of the same, and (iii) for the third exploration phase, which expires March 2014, the drilling one well to evaluate prospects;
- (f) that the Company is required to (i) relinquish 50% of the contract area at the end of the second exploration phase and (ii) relinquish all areas that are not exploitation areas at the end of the third exploration phase;
- (g) for the establishment of royalties payable to the government, which will be based on volumes extracted;
- (h) for the sharing of the profit petroleum among the participants and OMNIS; under the terms of the PSC, 60% of revenues can be used to recover costs; on the revenues not used to recover costs, OMNIS's share escalates in accordance with the following:

Daily Production Natural Gas Increments	OMNIS Entitlement
Less than 20 MMCMD	10%
Equal to or > 20 MMCMD and < 50 MMCMD	16%
Equal to or > 50 MMCMD and less than 100 MMCMD	20%
Equal to or > 100 MMCMD	25%

Daily Production Liquid Petroleum Increments	OMNIS Entitlement
Less than 25,000 boe/d	10%
Equal to or > 25,000 boe/d and up to 50,000 boe/d	15%
Equal to or > 50,000 boe/d and up to 75,000 boe/d	25%
Equal to or > 75,000 boe/d and up to 100,000 boe/d	30%
Equal to or > 100,000 boe/d and up to 125,000 boe/d	35%
Equal to or > 125,000 and up to 150,000 boe/d	45%
Equal or > 150,000 boe/d	65%

- (i) that the Company is subject to "Direct Tax on Petroleum", which discharges it from corporate income taxes, capital gains tax and withholding taxes on transfer; the "Direct Tax on Petroleum" is deemed to be included in the profit petroleum entitlement of OMNIS; and
- (j) for production bonuses, personnel and training expenditures for Malagasy nationals, and administration fees.

Canada

The Company has a 45% non-operated interest in the Cullen unit in Saskatchewan. It produced 64 bopd gross (29 bopd net) in Fiscal 2009 (Fiscal 2008 – 76 bopd gross (34 bopd net)).

Oil and Gas Wells

The following table summarizes the Company's interests in India and Bangladesh, as at March 31, 2009, in oil and gas wells:

Producing and Non-Producing Wells						
As at March 31, 2009						
	Oil Wells		Natural Gas Wells		Total	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Producing ⁽¹⁾						
India	6	0.8	47	22.7	53	23.5
Bangladesh	-	-	5	3.8	5	3.8
Total Producing	6	0.8	52	26.5	58	27.3
Non-Producing ⁽²⁾						
India	-	-	14	1.4	14	1.4
Bangladesh	-	-	3	2.2	2	1.6
Total Non-Producing	-	-	17	3.6	16	3

Notes:

- (1) Includes wells that are temporarily shut-in but which are capable of production.
- (2) Includes wells that are not capable of production but that are not yet abandoned. Includes wells in the Dhirubhai 1 and 3 gas development in the D6 Block that have not been tied in.

Not included in the above table is 10 wells drilled at NEC-25 that are not tied in, six of which are included the field development plan submitted to the GOI in May 2007. Not included in the above table are eighteen wells in the D6 Block that are not tied in, nine of which are included in the D6 satellite field development plan submitted to the GOI in July 2008.

Properties with No Attributed Reserves

The following table summarizes information with respect to the Company's properties to which no reserves have been specifically attributed:

Land Holdings With No Attributed Reserves				
As at March 31, 2009				
Location	Unproved Properties (Acres)		Expiring in Year Ended March 31, 2010 (Acres)	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Bangladesh	1,795,383	1,116,503	424,300	254,580
India	8,486,799	1,307,989	-	-
Indonesia	6,174,203	2,176,044	-	-
Kurdistan	208,962	75,226	-	-
Madagascar	4,160,715	2,704,465	-	-
Pakistan	2,450,240	2,450,240	-	-
Total	23,636,302	9,830,466	424,300	254,580

Reserves have not been attributed to the Cauvery Block, the D4 Block or NEC-25 blocks in India, Chattak in Bangladesh, the Pakistan Blocks, the Qara Dagh Block in Kurdistan, the Indonesian Blocks or the Madagascar Block. For work commitments on the unproven properties, see "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – India" and "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Bangladesh", "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Pakistan", "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Kurdistan", "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties

– Indonesia" and "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Madagascar". Subsequent to March 31, 2009, the Company acquired rights in three PSCs for interests in three additional blocks in Indonesia. This adds 3,705,213 gross acres (1,441,562 net acres) to the unproved properties acreage. No Indonesian land rights expire prior to March 31, 2010.

Forward Contracts

The Company has 41 gas contracts in India and 2 gas contracts in Bangladesh under which the Company may be precluded from fully realizing or may be protected from the impact of future market prices for gas. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – India – Hazira, India", " Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – India – Surat Block, India", " Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – India – D6 Block, India", " Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Bangladesh – Feni and Chattak Fields, Bangladesh" and " Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Bangladesh – Block 9, Bangladesh".

Additional Information Concerning Abandonment and Reclamation

The Company estimates the abandonment and reclamation costs of wells, facilities and pipelines based on previously experienced abandonment and reclamation costs. The abandonment and reclamation costs related to Hazira Field for the LBDP, the offshore platform and wells on the offshore platform are based on third party evaluations. The abandonment and reclamation costs related to the D6 oil and gas developments are based on the costs included in the field development plans. The Company expects to incur these costs for 35.8 wells (net), 3.4 facilities (net), 0.3 pipelines (net), 0.3 land based drilling platforms (net) and 0.4 offshore platforms (net), being all of the obligations as at March 31, 2009. The amount of such costs expected to be incurred, net of estimated salvage value, is US\$74 million (US\$21million discounted at 10% per year). A total of US\$21 million of abandonment and reclamation costs (US\$5 million discounted at 10% per year) have not been deducted in estimating future net revenues under "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Disclosure of Reserves Data" as these costs are for properties for which no reserves have been attributed. The Company expects to pay US\$5.6 million for abandonment and reclamation costs within the next three fiscal years.

Costs Incurred

For Fiscal 2009, the Company incurred the following costs on its properties:

	Property Acquisition Costs		Exploration Costs	Development Costs	Total Costs
	Proved Properties	Unproved Properties ⁽¹⁾			
Bangladesh	-	-	241	14,594	14,835
India	-	-	46,225	353,586	399,811
Indonesia	-	4,193	11,459	-	15,652
Kurdistan	-	2,250	21,410	-	23,660
Madagascar	-	-	4,600	-	4,600
Pakistan	-	-	22,637	-	22,637
All Other	-	-	738	-	741

(1) The Company considers signature bonus' required under the various PSCs to be property acquisition costs.

Exploration and Development Activities

For Fiscal 2009, the Company drilled the following exploration and development wells by country:

Exploration and Development Activities - India Year Ended March 31, 2009				
Type	Exploration Wells		Development Wells	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Oil	-	-	1	0.1
Gas	3	0.3	1	0.1
Service	-	-	-	-
Dry	1	0.1	-	-
Total	4	0.4	2	0.2

There were no wells drilled in Bangladesh, Indonesia, Pakistan, Kurdistan, Madagascar or Canada during Fiscal 2009.

The Company's most important current and likely exploration and development activities are described under "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties".

Production Estimates

The following table provides the estimated volume of production for Fiscal 2010 of the Company from its India properties and Bangladesh properties derived from the Ryder Scott Report for:

Estimated Production ⁽¹⁾ Forecast Prices and Costs For the Year Ended March 31, 2009				
	Proved Reserves (Gross)	Proved Reserves (Net) ⁽²⁾	Probable Reserves (Gross)	Probable Reserves (Net) ⁽²⁾
India				
Estimated production for the year ended March 31, 2010				
Natural Gas (MMcf)	73,260	71,403	462	368
NGL (Mbbl)	78	77	-	-
Light and Medium Crude Oil (Mbbl)	933	913	3	2
MMcfe	79,326	77,343	492	377
D6				
Estimated production for the year ended March 31, 2010				
Natural Gas (MMcf)	67,157	66,485	-	-
NGL (Mbbl)	78	77	-	-
Light and Medium Crude Oil (Mbbl)	883	874	-	-
MMcfe	72,923	72,191	-	-
Hazira				
Estimated production for the year ended March 31, 2010				
Natural Gas (MMcf)	3,768	2,970	203	157
NGL (Mbbl)	-	-	-	-
Light and Medium Crude Oil (Mbbl)	50	39	3	2
MMcfe	4,068	3,204	221	171

Surat				
Estimated production for the year ended March 31, 2010				
Natural Gas (MMcf)	2,335	1,948	259	211
NGL (Mbbbl)	-	-	-	-
Light and Medium Crude Oil (Mbbbl)	-	-	-	-
MMcfe	2,335	1,948	259	211

Estimated Production⁽¹⁾				
Forecast Prices and Costs				
For the Year Ended March 31, 2009				
	Proved Reserves (Gross)	Proved Reserves (Net) ⁽²⁾	Probable Reserves (Gross)	Probable Reserves (Net) ⁽²⁾
Bangladesh				
Estimated production for the year ended March 31, 2010				
Natural Gas (MMcf)	22,233	15,815	-	-
NGL (Mbbbl)	26	18	-	-
Light and Medium Crude Oil (Mbbbl)	-	-	-	-
MMcfe	22,389	15,923	-	-
Block 9				
Estimated production for the year ended March 31, 2010				
Natural Gas (MMcf)	21,681	15,401	-	-
NGL (Mbbbl)	25	18	-	-
Light and Medium Crude Oil (Mbbbl)	-	-	-	-
MMcfe	21,832	15,509	-	-

Notes:

- (1) These estimated production numbers represent the estimated production from the Hazira Field, the Surat Block and the D6 Block in India and Block 9 in Bangladesh. Production from Feni is forecast to be less than 20% of the estimated production estimated for the Company for Fiscal 2010, therefore is not disclosed separately. No reserves have been attributed to NEC-25, the Cauvery Block and the D4 Block in India, Chattak in Bangladesh, the Madagascar Block, the Indonesian Blocks or the Pakistan Blocks, so no production estimate is provided for those properties.
- (2) "Net" reserves are defined as those accruing to the Company's working interest share after royalty interests owned by others have been deducted including a reduction to reflect any profit petroleum amounts that will be payable to the GOI and GOB.

Production History

The following tables set forth the average daily production volumes, average price received, royalties, profit petroleum, production costs and the resulting netbacks for the periods indicated as at March 31, 2009:

Average Daily Production Working Interest to the Company Year Ended March 31, 2009				
	Quarter Ended			
	June 30, 2008	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2008	March 31, 2009
India				
Oil (bbls/d)	162	96	687	701
NGL (bbls/d)	-	-	-	-
Gas (Mcf/d)	26,238	24,711	23,741	23,879
Mcf/d - India	27,208	25,288	27,861	28,084
Bangladesh				
Oil (bbls/d)	-	-	-	-
NGL (bbls/d)	56	50	68	74
Gas (Mcf/d)	50,807	50,184	61,576	68,013
Mcf/d - Bangladesh	51,145	50,484	61,984	68,458
Mcf/d - Total	78,353	75,772	89,845	96,542

Netback History – India⁽¹⁾ Natural Gas Year Ended March 31, 2009				
	Quarter Ended			
	June 30, 2008	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2008	March 31, 2009
Average Price Received (US\$/Mcf)	4.76	5.15	5.12	5.24
Royalties (US\$/Mcf) ⁽¹⁾	(0.43)	(0.48)	(0.45)	(0.48)
Profit Petroleum (US\$/Mcf) ⁽¹⁾	(0.62)	(0.63)	(0.65)	(0.47)
Production Costs (US\$/Mcf)	(0.48)	(0.51)	(0.61)	(0.26)
Netback (US\$/Mcf)	3.23	3.53	3.41	4.03

Netback History – Bangladesh⁽¹⁾ Natural Gas Year Ended March 31, 2009				
	Quarter Ended			
	June 30, 2008	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2008	March 31, 2009
Average Price Received (US\$/Mcf)	2.26	2.28	2.30	2.29
Royalties (US\$/Mcf) ⁽¹⁾	-	-	-	-
Profit Petroleum (US\$/Mcf) ⁽¹⁾	(0.75)	(0.75)	(0.76)	(0.79)
Production Costs (US\$/Mcf)	(0.24)	(0.18)	(0.29)	(0.16)
Netback (US\$/Mcf) ⁽²⁾	1.27	1.35	1.25	1.34

Netback History – India⁽¹⁾				
Oil and Condensate				
Year Ended March 31, 2009				
	Quarter Ended			
	June 30, 2008	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2008	March 31, 2009
Average Price Received (US\$/bbl)	112.96	118.36	52.41	43.81
Royalties (US\$/bbl) ⁽¹⁾	(4.59)	(1.88)	(2.02)	(5.02)
Profit Petroleum (US\$/bbl) ⁽¹⁾	(21.71)	(20.85)	(3.82)	(2.11)
Production Costs (US\$/bbl)	(5.70)	(7.16)	(17.45)	(33.82)
Netback (US\$/bbl)	80.96	88.47	29.12	2.86

Netback History – Bangladesh⁽¹⁾				
Oil and Condensate				
Year Ended March 31, 2009				
	Quarter Ended			
	June 30, 2008	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2008	March 31, 2009
Average Price Received (US\$/bbl)	120.21	119.04	53.93	46.60
Royalties (US\$/bbl) ⁽¹⁾	-	-	-	-
Profit Petroleum (US\$/bbl) ⁽¹⁾	(42.59)	(42.54)	(23.82)	(3.03)
Production Costs (US\$/bbl)	(1.43)	(0.84)	(1.53)	(0.81)
Netback (US\$/bbl)⁽²⁾	76.19	75.66	28.58	42.76

Notes:

- (1) Under the terms of the gas sales contracts that are in place with respect to Niko's natural gas production from its India properties, the purchasers of the natural gas pay the royalties and sales taxes levied by the GOI as well as transportation charges over and above the contracted price. Under the terms of the applicable PSCs, the GOI and GOB are entitled to a percentage share of the profit gas produced, which percentage is based upon the multiple of investment cost recovery by Niko. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Disclosure of Reserves Data – Reserves Disclosure – India" and "Statement of Reserves Data and other Oil and Gas Information – Disclosure of Reserves Data – Reserves Disclosure – Bangladesh". There are no royalties or sales tax levied by the GOB related to Bangladesh production.
- (2) The netbacks related to Bangladesh are calculated based on amounts recorded in the Company's financial statements for Fiscal 2009, however, the Company has not been paid for production from Feni for Fiscal 2009.

The following table sets forth the working interest production by area for the Company's Hazira Field, Surat Block and D6 Block for the year ended March 31, 2009 in India and the Company's Block 9 and Feni properties in Bangladesh being the only properties from which there was production during that time:

Area	Light and Medium Crude Oil (bbls/d)	Natural Gas (Mcf/d)	NGL (bbls/d)	Total Natural Gas Equivalent (Mcf)
Hazira	169	15,793	-	16,807
Surat	-	8,849	-	8,849
D6 ⁽¹⁾	241	-	-	1,446
Total – India	410	24,642	-	27,102
Block 9	-	54,284	61	54,650
Feni	-	3,323	1	3,329
Total - Bangladesh	-	57,607	62	57,979
Total – Company ⁽²⁾	410	82,249	62	85,081

Notes:

- (1) Production from the D6 Block during the year was oil production from the MA field. Production from the Dhirubhai 1 and 3 gas field commenced in April 2009.
- (2) The Company total excludes the production relating to Canada as such production comprises less than 0.02% of the Company's production for fiscal 2009.

DEFINITIONS, NOTES AND OTHER CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

In the tables set forth in "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information" and elsewhere in this Annual Information Form, unless otherwise indicated, the following definitions and other notes are applicable.

- **"Gross"** means:
 - (a) in relation to the Company's interest in production and reserves, its "gross revenues", which are the Company's interest (operating and non-operating) share before deduction of royalties and profit petroleum without including any royalty interest of the Company;
 - (b) in relation to wells, the total number of wells in which the Company has an interest; and
 - (c) in relation to properties, the total area of properties in which the Company has an interest.
- **"Net"** means:
 - (a) in relation to the Company's interest in production and reserves are the Company's interest (operating and non-operating) share after deduction of royalty obligations and profit petroleum, plus the Company's royalty interest in production or reserves;
 - (b) in relation to wells, the number of wells obtained by aggregating the Company's working interest in each of its gross wells;
 - (c) in relation to the Company's interest in a property, the total area in which the Company has an interest multiplied by the working interest owned by the Company; and

- (d) in relation to the Company's capital expenditures or forecast capital expenditures for a property, the total expenditure for the property in which the Company has an interest multiplied by the working interest owned by the Company.

- Definitions of Reserves:

Reserves Categories

Reserves are estimated remaining quantities of oil and natural gas and related substances anticipated to be recoverable from known accumulations, from a given date forward, based on:

- analysis of drilling, geological, geophysical and engineering data;
- the use of established technology; and
- specified economic conditions, which are generally accepted as being reasonable, and shall be disclosed.

Reserves are classified according to the degree of certainty associated with the estimates.

- (a) **Proved reserves** are those reserves that can be estimated with a high degree of certainty to be recoverable. It is likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will exceed the estimated proved reserves.
- (b) **Probable reserves** are those additional reserves that are less certain to be recovered than proved reserves. It is equally likely that the actual remaining quantities recovered will be greater or less than the sum of the estimated proved plus probable reserves.

Development and Production Status

Each of the reserve categories (proved and probable) may be divided into developed and undeveloped categories:

- (a) **Developed reserves** are those reserves that are expected to be recovered from existing wells and installed facilities or, if facilities have not been installed, that would involve a low expenditure (for example, when compared to the cost of drilling a well) to put the reserves on production. The developed category may be subdivided into producing and non-producing.
 - (i) **Developed producing reserves** are those reserves that are expected to be recovered from completion intervals open at the time of the estimate. These reserves may be currently producing or, if shut-in, they must have previously been on production, and the date of resumption of production must be known with reasonable certainty.
 - (ii) **Developed non-producing reserves** are those reserves that either have not been on production, or have previously been on production, but are shut-in, and the date of resumption of production is unknown.
- (b) **Undeveloped reserves** are those reserves expected to be recovered from known accumulations where a significant expenditure (for example, when compared to the cost of drilling a well) is required to render them capable of production. They must fully meet the requirements of the reserves classification (proved, probable) to which they are assigned.

In multi-well pools it may be appropriate to allocate total pool reserves between the developed and undeveloped categories or to subdivide the developed reserves for the pool between developed producing and developed non-producing. This allocation should be based on the estimator's assessment as to the reserves that will be recovered from specific wells, facilities and completion intervals in the pool and their respective development and production status.

Levels of Certainty for Reported Reserves

The quantitative certainty levels referred to in the definitions above are applicable to individual reserve entities (which refers to the lowest level at which reserves calculations are performed) and to reported reserves (which refers to the highest level sum of individual entity estimates for which reserves are presented). Reported reserves should target the following levels of certainty under a specific set of economic conditions:

- At least a 90% probability that the quantities actually recovered will equal or exceed the estimated proved reserves;
- At least a 50% probability that the quantities recovered will equal or exceed the sum of the estimated proved plus probable reserves.

A qualitative measure of the certainty levels pertaining to estimates prepared for the various reserves categories is desirable to provide a clearer understanding of the associated risks and uncertainties. However, the majority of reserves estimates will be prepared using deterministic methods that do not provide a mathematically derived quantitative measure of probability. In principle, there should be no difference between estimates prepared using probabilistic or deterministic methods.

- **Future Income Tax Expense**

Future income tax expenses are estimated:

- (a) making appropriate allocations of estimated unclaimed costs and losses carried forward for tax purposes between oil and gas activities and other business activities;
- (b) without deducting estimated future costs that are not deductible in computing taxable income;
- (c) taking into account estimated tax credits and allowances; and
- (d) applying to the future pre-tax net cash flows relating to the Company's oil and gas activities the appropriate year-end statutory tax rates, taking into account future tax rates already legislated.

- **"Development well"** means a well drilled inside the established limits of an oil and gas reservoir, or in close proximity to the edge of the reservoir, to the depth of a stratigraphic location horizon known to be productive.

- **"Development costs"** means costs incurred to obtain access to reserves and to provide facilities for extracting, treating, gathering and storing the oil and gas from reserves. More specifically, development costs, including applicable operating costs of support equipment and facilities and other costs of development activities, are costs incurred to:

- (a) gain access to and prepare well locations for drilling, including surveying well locations for the purpose of determining specific development drilling sites, clearing ground, draining, road building, and relocating public roads, gas lines and power lines to the extent necessary in developing the reserves;
- (b) drill and equip development wells, development type stratigraphic test wells and service wells, including the costs of platforms and of well equipment such as casing, tubing, pumping equipment and wellhead assembly;
- (c) acquire, construct and install production facilities such as flow lines, separators, treaters, heaters, manifolds, measuring devices and production storage tanks, natural gas cycling and processing plants, and central utility and waste disposal systems; and

(d) provide improved recovery systems.

- **"Exploration well"** means a well that is not a development well, a service well or a stratigraphic test well.
- **"Exploration costs"** means costs incurred in identifying areas that may warrant examination and in examining specific areas that are considered to have prospects that may contain oil and gas reserves, including costs of drilling exploratory wells and exploratory type stratigraphic test wells. Exploration costs may be incurred both before acquiring the related property and after acquiring the property. Exploration costs, which include applicable operating costs of support equipment and facilities and other costs of exploration activities, are:
 - (a) costs of topographical, geochemical, geological and geophysical studies, rights of access to properties to conduct those studies, and salaries and other expenses of geologists, geophysical crews and others conducting those studies;
 - (b) costs of carrying and retaining unproved properties, such as delay rentals, taxes (other than income and capital taxes) on properties, legal costs for title defence, and the maintenance of land and lease records;
 - (c) dry hole contributions and bottom hole contributions;
 - (d) costs of drilling and equipping exploratory wells; and
 - (e) costs of drilling exploratory type stratigraphic test wells.
- **"Service well"** means a well drilled or completed for the purpose of supporting production in an existing field. Wells in this class are drilled for the following specific purposes: gas injection (natural gas, propane, butane or flue gas), water injection, steam injection, air injection, salt water disposal, water supply for injection, observation or injection for combustion.
- Numbers may not add due to rounding.
- The estimates of future net revenue presented do not represent fair market value.
- Disclosure provided herein in respect of boes may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. A boe conversion ratio of 6 Mcf: 1 bbls is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead.
- Estimated future abandonment and reclamation costs related to a property have been taken into account by Ryder Scott in determining reserves that should be attributable to a property and, in determining the aggregate future net revenue therefrom, there was deducted the reasonable estimated future well abandonment costs.
- The forecast price and cost assumptions assume the continuance of current laws and regulations.
- The extended character of all factual data supplied to Ryder Scott were accepted by them as represented. No field inspection was conducted.

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

Name, Occupation and Security Holding

The name, province and country of residence and principal occupation of each of the directors and senior officers of Niko are as follows:

Name and Residence	Positions Held With Niko⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾	Principal Occupation During Last Five Years⁽¹⁾
Edward S. Sampson ⁽⁹⁾ Alberta, Canada	President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since November 2004. Also Chairman of the Board of the Company for in excess of the last 14 years.	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
Conrad P. Kathol ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁹⁾ Alberta, Canada	Director	President of Silver Thorn Exploration Ltd. (a natural resource company) since April 2004.
Wendell W. Robinson ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ South Carolina, U.S.A.	Director	Senior Investment Partner & retired Managing Director, Global Environment Fund (an institutional investment management firm) since February 2006. Prior thereto, Managing Director, Global Environment Fund.
Walter DeBoni ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ Alberta, Canada	Director	Vice President of Canada Frontier & International Business of Husky Energy Inc. (a public oil and gas company) from April 2002 to July 2005.
C. J. (Jim) Cummings ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾ Alberta, Canada	Director	Partner of International Energy Counsel LLP (a law firm) since December 2002.
William T. Hornaday ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ Alberta, Canada	Chief Operating Officer, Director	Chief Operating Officer of Niko Resources Ltd. Since 2005. Prior thereto, Vice President, Operations of Niko.
Murray E. Hesje Alberta, Canada	Chief Financial Officer, VP Finance	VP Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Niko since 2006. From 2004 to 2006 Chief Operating Officer of Pearl Energy Limited (a natural resources company). Prior thereto Vice President Finance at Gulf Canada limited (a natural resource company).

Notes:

- (1) Each of the above persons has held the principal position shown opposite his name for the last five years, unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Mr. Robinson is the chairman, and Mr. Cummings and Mr. DeBoni are members, of the Audit Committee.
- (3) Mr. Robinson is the chairman, and Mr. Cummings and Mr. Kathol are members, of the Compensation Committee.
- (4) Mr. Kathol is the chairman, and Mr. DeBoni and Mr. Hornaday are members, of the Environment and Reserve Committee.

- (5) Mr. Cummings is the chairman, and Mr. DeBoni and Mr. Hornaday are members, of the Corporate Governance Committee.
- (6) The Company does not have an executive committee.
- (7) The following individuals were initially appointed or elected directors of Niko in the following years: Messrs. Sampson and Kathol (1996), Mr. Robinson (1999), Messrs. Cummings and DeBoni (2005) and Mr. Hornaday (2007).
- (8) The directors will hold office until the next annual meeting of holders of Common Shares or until their successor is duly elected or appointed, unless their office is earlier vacated in accordance with the Company's By-Laws.
- (9) Conrad P. Kathol, a director of Niko, and Edward S. Sampson, an officer and a director of Niko, were both directors, but not officers, of Proprietary Industries Inc. ("**Proprietary**") during a period for which the Alberta Securities Commission (the "**ASC**") was investigating Proprietary. Proprietary is a public corporation organized under the *Canada Business Corporations Act*. Niko was, at the time of the transactions referred to below, arm's length to Proprietary and the other public companies referred to below and Niko has never had business dealings with Proprietary and such public companies. In January of 2002, a notice of hearing was issued by the ASC with respect to Proprietary and two of its senior officers, Peter Workum and Theodor Hennig, alleging that (i) Proprietary's consolidated financial statements for the years ended September 30, 2000, September 30, 1999 and September 30, 1998 were not prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and contained misrepresentations contrary to the *Securities Act* (Alberta) with respect to gains reported in connection with certain transactions involving Proprietary, and (ii) Proprietary made representations in respect of material submitted or given to the ASC in connection with those transactions contrary to the *Securities Act* (Alberta). On August 21, 2002, the ASC issued an order (a) cease trading all trades in securities of Proprietary and all trades of Messrs. Workum and Hennig and certain subsidiaries of Proprietary and (b) denying Proprietary, Messrs. Workum and Hennig and such subsidiaries the use of any exemptions from the prospectus and registration requirements under the *Securities Act* (Alberta) for a period of 15 days. On September 5, 2002, the ASC issued a further order extending the earlier interim order. Securities regulatory authorities in other provinces in Canada issued similar orders with respect to Proprietary. Mr. Sampson resigned as a director of Proprietary in March 2001 and Mr. Kathol resigned as a director of Proprietary on December 18, 2002. In August 2003, the ASC staff and Proprietary entered into a settlement agreement whereunder Proprietary acknowledged, among other things, that certain recognitions of gains contained in its audited consolidated financial statements for its fiscal years ended September 30, 1998, 1999 and 2000 were contrary to generally accepted accounting principles and agreed to pay \$125,000 to the ASC in partial satisfaction of the ASC's costs. On November 21, 2003 the ASC issued an order lifting the sanctions referred to in (a) and (b) above as they related to Proprietary. However, in November and December 2003, the ASC issued a further cease trade order against Proprietary for failure to file annual audited financial statements for its fiscal year ended September 30, 2002. This cease trade order was subsequently lifted on May 6, 2004 and trading of Proprietary's shares on the TSX resumed on May 19, 2004.

As at June 22, 2009, the directors and executive officers of Niko, as a group, beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, or exercised control or direction over, 6,798,201 Common Shares constituting approximately 15% of the issued and outstanding Common Shares. Of these shares, 2,376,229 are shares over which Mr. Ed Sampson has control or direction as executor of the estate of Robert N. Ohlson, the former President of the Company.

Orders

Other than as disclosed herein, to the knowledge of management of the Company, no director or executive officer as at the date hereof, or was within 10 years before the date hereof, a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer of any company (including the Company), that (a) was subject to an order that was issued while the director or executive officer was acting in the capacity as director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer, or (b) was subject to an order that was issued after the director or executive officer ceased to be a director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer and which resulted from an event that occurred while that person was acting in the capacity as director, chief executive officer or chief financial officer. For the purposes hereof, "order" means (a) a cease trade order, (b) an order similar to a cease trade order, or (c) an order that denied the relevant company access to any exemption under securities legislation that was in effect for a period of more than 30 consecutive days.

Bankruptcies

Other than as disclosed herein, to the knowledge of management of the Company, no director or executive officer of the Company, or a shareholder holding a sufficient number of securities of the Company to affect materially the control thereof, (a) is, as at the date hereof, or has been within the 10 years before the date hereof, a director or executive officer of any company (including the Company) that, while that person was acting in that capacity, or within a year of that person ceasing to act in that capacity, became bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency or was subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold its assets, or (b) has, within the 10 years before the date hereof, become bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency, or become subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors, or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold the assets of the director, executive officer or shareholder.

Penalties and Sanctions

Other than as disclosed herein, to the knowledge of management of the Company, no director or executive officer or shareholder holding a sufficient number of Common Shares to affect materially the control of the Company, has been subject to any penalties or sanctions imposed by a court relating to Canadian securities legislation or by a Canadian securities regulatory authority or has entered into a settlement agreement with a Canadian securities regulatory authority, or has been subject to any other penalties or sanctions imposed by a court or regulatory body that would be likely to be considered important to a reasonable investor making an investment decision.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

The purpose of the Company's audit committee (the "Audit Committee") is to provide assistance to the board of directors of the Company in fulfilling its legal and fiduciary obligations with respect to matters involving the accounting, auditing, financial reporting, internal control and legal compliance functions of the Company and its subsidiaries. It is the objective of the audit committee to maintain a free and open means of communications among the board of directors of the Company, its independent auditors and its financial and senior management.

The full text of the Audit Committee's charter is included as Schedule "A" to this Annual Information Form.

Composition of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is comprised of C. J. (Jim) Cummings, Walter DeBoni and Wendell W. Robinson. Wendell W. Robinson is the Chairman of the Audit Committee and the financial expert. Each of the members of the Audit Committee is financially literate under section 1.6 of MI 52-110 and each of the members is independent under section 1.4 of MI 52-110.

Relevant Education and Experience

C. J. (Jim) Cummings has been involved in the petroleum industry in excess of the past 30 years. He graduated from the University of Alberta with a degree in Law and has practiced in government, corporate and private roles, specializing in international oil and gas law. Mr. Cummings has served as Senior Counsel with the Attorney General of Alberta in the Constitutional and Energy Law Department, Senior Counsel with Home Oil Company Limited, Vice-President and General Counsel with both Asamera Inc. and Bow Valley Energy Ltd. and was formerly a partner in Donahue LLP. He is currently a partner in International Energy Counsel LLP and a director of a number of private corporations. He is a past Chair of the Association of General Counsel of Alberta and is a past member of the Steering Committee of the Canadian Chapter of the Association of International Petroleum Negotiators.

Walter DeBoni has held numerous top executive posts in the oil and gas industry. He holds a Bachelor of Science (B.A.Sc.) in Chemical Engineering from the University of British Columbia and an MBA degree with a major in Finance from the University of Calgary.

Wendell Robinson is Senior Investment Partner & retired Managing Director, Global Environment Fund (an institutional investment management firm). Previously, Mr Robinson managed international private equity programs for Rockefeller & Co. During his 40 plus years of domestic and international financial, investment and company management, Mr. Robinson has been the director of numerous corporations, and a member of investment advisory boards and committees of investment entities throughout Southeast Asia, Europe, Latin American and the United States. Mr. Robinson has BA and MA degrees in Economics, with a minor in Finance, from Case Western Reserve University. Mr. Robinson is a Chartered Financial Analyst.

Audit Committee Oversight

All recommendations of the Audit Committee in respect of the nomination and compensation of external auditors have been adopted by the Board.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee pre-approves engagements for non-audit services provided by the external auditors or their affiliates, together with estimated fees and potential issues of independence. See section 5.2.9 of the audit committee charter attached as Schedule A to this Annual Information Form.

Audit Fees

The aggregate fees billed by the Company's external auditor for audit services including quarterly reviews for Fiscal 2009 were Cdn\$563,600 (Fiscal 2008 – Cdn \$556,300).

Audit-related Fees

The aggregate fees billed by the Company's external auditor for professional services with respect to prospectuses, translation of foreign language financial statements and audit certifications for Fiscal 2009 were Cdn \$29,300 (Fiscal 2008 – Cdn \$105,400).

Tax Fees

The aggregate fees billed by the Company's external auditor for professional services including tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning in Fiscal 2009 were Cdn\$35,500 (Fiscal 2008 – Cdn \$123,700).

All Other Fees

There were no other fees billed during Fiscal 2008 or Fiscal 2009 by the company's external auditors.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Certain directors and officers of Niko and its subsidiaries are associated with other reporting issuers or other corporations, which may give rise to conflicts of interest. Some of these private and public companies may, from time to time, be involved in business transactions or banking relationships or other business relationships which may create situations in which conflicts may arise. In accordance with the ABCA, directors who have a material interest or any person who is a party to a material contract or a proposed material contract with Niko are required, subject to certain exceptions, to disclose that interest and generally abstain from voting on any resolution to approve the contract. In addition, the directors are required to act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of Niko. Certain of the directors of Niko have either other employment or other business or time restrictions placed on them and, accordingly, these directors will only be able to devote part of their time to the affairs of Niko.

DIVIDENDS

In June 2001, the Company implemented a policy of paying quarterly dividends on the Common Shares. Since that time, the Company has declared and paid a quarterly dividend of \$0.03 per Common Share for each successive quarter. While the Company intends to pursue a policy of paying quarterly dividends, the level of future dividends will be determined by the board of directors of the Company in light of earnings from operations, capital requirements and the financial condition of the Company.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The Company is authorized to issue an unlimited number of Common Shares and an unlimited number of preferred shares, issuable in series. As at June 22, 2009, the Company had issued and outstanding 49,595,008 Common Shares and no other shares of any class. As at June 22, 2009, the Company had outstanding options to purchase 3,865,625 Common Shares.

The Common Shares have the following rights, privileges, restrictions and conditions:

- (a) the right to receive notice of and to attend and vote at all meetings of holders of Common Shares except meetings of the holders of another class of shares, with each Common Share entitling the holder thereof to one vote;
- (b) subject to the preferences accorded to the holders of the preferred shares, the holders of Common Shares are entitled to receive such dividends as may be deemed thereon by the board of directors of Niko from time to time; and
- (c) in the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of Niko, whether voluntary or involuntary, the holders of Common Shares are entitled to receive pro rata all of the assets remaining for distribution after the payment to the holders of the preferred shares, in accordance with the preference on liquidation, dissolution or winding-up accorded to the holders of the preferred shares.

The preferred shares have the following rights, privileges, restrictions and conditions:

- (a) the board of directors of Niko may issue the preferred shares in one or more series, each series to consist of such number of shares as may, before the issuance thereof, be determined by the board of directors;
- (b) the board of directors of Niko may fix, before issuance, the designation, rights, privileges, restrictions and conditions attaching to each series of preferred shares including (a) the amount, if any, specified as being payable preferentially to such series on a distribution of capital of Niko, (b) the extent, if any, of further participation in a distribution of capital, (c) voting rights, if any, and (d) dividend rights (including whether such dividends be preferential, or cumulative or non-cumulative), if any;
- (c) in the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of Niko, whether voluntary or involuntary, the holders of each series of preferred shares are entitled, in priority to the holders of Common Shares, on a distribution of capital, to be paid rateably with the holders of each other series of preferred shares the amount, if any, specified as being payable preferentially to the holders of such series on a distribution of capital of Niko; and
- (d) the holders of each series of preferred shares are entitled, in priority to the holders of Common Shares, with respect to the payment of cumulative dividends, to be paid rateably with the holders of each other series of preferred shares, the amount of cumulative dividends, if any, specified as being payable preferentially to the holders of such series.

MARKET FOR COMMON SHARES

Trading Price and Volume

The Common Shares have been listed and posted for trading on the TSX since December 11, 1998 under the trading symbol "NKO". The following table sets out the price range in Canadian dollars for, and trading volume of, the Common Shares as reported by the TSX for the periods indicated:

	Trade Price (Cdn\$)		Volume Traded
	High	Low	# of shares
March 2009	61.00	44.41	2,650,652
February 2009	57.60	42.53	2,383,337
January 2009	51.49	42.39	1,788,420
December 2008	58.98	39.08	2,302,978
November 2008	60.00	44.00	2,587,495
October 2008	61.01	42.60	4,625,616
September 2008	76.10	50.00	3,745,187
August 2008	86.71	75.21	2,508,864
July 2008	97.87	79.29	2,265,023
June 2008	103.87	91.55	1,853,498
May 2008	100.40	88.05	2,282,709
April 2008	94.00	82.73	1,922,699

Prior Sales

From April 1, 2008 through March 31, 2009, a total of 1,368,313 options to purchase Common Shares were granted to directors, officers and employees of the Company on the following dates with the following exercise prices:

Number of Options Granted	Exercise Price (Cdn\$)	Date(s) of Grant
33,250	47.11 per share	January 12, 2009
12,500	47.84 per share	November 22, 2008
750	47.97 per share	March 1, 2009
639,500	49.62 per share	December 8, 2008
7,500	49.62 per share	March 6, 2009
299,688	49.90 per share	January 9, 2009
250	50.15 per share	October 29, 2008
9,375	52.80 per share	March 6, 2009
5,000	53.00 per share	March 19, 2009
250	55.28 per share	March 23, 2009
2,500	60.00 per share	November 30, 2008
1,000	61.50 per share	September 26, 2008
2,500	61.50 per share	September 28, 2008
50,000	69.82 per share	September 5, 2008
1,250	76.38 per share	August 23, 2008
5,000	77.10 per share	August 31, 2008
750	81.55 per share	July 18, 2008
21,000	83.25 per share	August 1, 2008
1,000	83.45 per share	April 1, 2008
1,750	84.20 per share	April 3, 2008
250	89.90 per share	April 11, 2008
30,000	91.00 per share	April 30, 2008
4,250	91.80 per share	May 1, 2008

<u>Number of Options Granted</u>	<u>Exercise Price (Cdn\$)</u>	<u>Date(s) of Grant</u>
10,000	91.81 per share	May 28, 2008
1,250	92.18 per share	May 30, 2008
500	92.18 per share	June 1, 2008
30,000	93.80 per share	June 2, 2008
75,000	93.85 per share	May 22, 2008
1,000	95.01 per share	June 15, 2008
120,000	98.64 per share	June 28, 2008
1,250	99.38 per share	June 20, 2008

SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS PLAN

At the Annual and Special Meeting of the holders of Common Shares held on September 15, 1999, the holders of the Common Shares approved the Company's shareholder rights plan, the terms and conditions of which are set out in the Shareholder Rights Plan Agreement (the "**Original Rights Plan**") dated as of August 9, 1999 between the Company and Montreal Trust Company of Canada, which agreement was approved by the board of directors of the Company. At the Annual and Special Meeting of the holders of Common Shares held on September 19, 2002, the continued existence of the Original Rights Plan was approved and reconfirmed by the Independent Shareholders (as defined in the Original Rights Plan) and an amended and restated shareholder rights plan agreement (the "**2002 Rights Plan**") was executed. At the Annual and Special Meeting of the holders of Common Shares held on August 17, 2005, the continued existence of the 2002 Rights Plan was approved and reconfirmed by the Independent Shareholders (as defined in the 2002 Rights Plan) and an amended and restated shareholder rights plan agreement (the "**2005 Rights Plan**") was executed. At the Annual and Special Meeting of the holders of Common Shares held on September 11, 2008, the continued existence of the 2005 Rights Plan was approved and reconfirmed by the Independent Shareholders (as defined in the 2005 Rights Plan) and an amended and restated shareholder rights plan agreement (the "**2008 Rights Plan**") was executed. Its continued existence must be approved and reconfirmed by the Independent Shareholders (as defined in the 2008 Rights Plan) on or before the termination of the annual meeting of the shareholders of the Company held in the year 2011.

The following is a summary description of the general operation of the 2008 Rights Plan. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the text of the 2008 Rights Plan, a copy of which can be obtained by shareholders from the Company. Capitalized terms used below but not defined below have the meanings ascribed to them in the 2008 Rights Plan.

Effective Date: The 2008 Rights Plan is effective as of the close of business on August 9, 1999 (the "**Plan Effective Date**").

Term: The 2008 Rights Plan will expire at the termination of the annual meeting of shareholders in the year 2011. If the 2008 Rights Plan is reconfirmed by the holders of Common Shares at the annual meeting of shareholders held in the year 2011, it will expire at the termination of the annual meeting of shareholders in the year 2014.

Issue of Rights: At 5:00 p.m. (Calgary time) on August 9, 1999, one Right was issued and attached to each outstanding Common share and one Right will be issued and attach to any Common share that is subsequently issued.

Rights Exercise Privilege: The Rights will separate from the Common Shares and will be exercisable 10 Trading Days (the "**Separation Time**") after a person has acquired, or commences a take-over bid to acquire, 20% or more of the Common Shares, other than by an acquisition pursuant to a take-over bid permitted by the 2008 Rights Plan (a "**Permitted Bid**"). The acquisition by any person (an "**Acquiring Person**") of 20% or more of the Common shares, other than by way of a Permitted Bid or Competing Permitted Bid, is referred to as a "**Flip-in Event**". Any Rights held by an Acquiring Person will become void upon the occurrence of a Flip-in Event. Ten Trading Days after the occurrence of the Flip-in Event, each Right (other than those held by the Acquiring Person) will permit the purchase of \$200 worth of Common shares for \$100.

The issue of the Rights is not initially dilutive. Upon a Flip-in Event occurring and the Rights separating from the Common Shares, reported earnings per share on a fully diluted or non-diluted basis may be affected. Holders of Rights not exercising their Rights upon the occurrence of a Flip-in Event may suffer substantial dilution.

Lock-Up Agreements: A person making a take-over bid may enter into lock-up agreements ("**Lock-up Agreements**") with holders of Common Shares whereby such holders agree to tender their Common Shares to the bid without a Flip-in Event occurring. The Lock-up Agreement must, among other things, permit the holders to withdraw their Common Shares and tender them to another, or to support another, take-over bid transaction that will provide greater value to such holder.

Certificates and Transferability: Prior to the Separation Time, the Rights are evidenced by a legend imprinted on certificates for the Common Shares issued from and after the Plan Effective Date and are not to be transferable separately from the Common Shares. From and after the Separation Time, the Rights will be evidenced by Rights Certificates which will be transferable and traded separately from the Common Shares.

Permitted Bid Requirements: The requirements for a Permitted Bid include the following:

1. the take-over bid must be made by way of a take-over bid circular;
2. the take-over bid must be made to all shareholders, wherever resident;
3. the take-over bid must be outstanding for a minimum period of 45 days and Common Shares tendered pursuant to the take-over bid may not be taken up prior to the expiry of the 45-day period and only if at such time more than 50% of the Common Shares held by Independent Shareholders have been tendered to the take-over bid and not withdrawn; and
4. if more than 50% of the Common Shares held by Independent Shareholders are tendered to the take-over bid within the 45-day period, the bidder must make a public announcement of that fact and the take-over bid must remain open for deposits of Common Shares for not less than 10 Business Days from the date of such public announcement.

The 2008 Rights Plan allows for a competing Permitted Bid (a "**Competing Permitted Bid**") to be made while a Permitted Bid is in existence. A Competing Permitted Bid must satisfy all the requirements of a Permitted Bid except that it may expire on the same date as the Permitted Bid, subject to the requirement that it be outstanding for the minimum deposit period under Canadian securities laws (currently 35 days).

Waiver: The board of directors of the Company, acting in good faith, may, until the occurrence of a Flip-in Event, waive the application of the Rights Plan to a particular Flip-in Event (an "**Exempt Acquisition**") where the take-over bid is made by a take-over bid circular to all holders of Common Shares. Where the board of directors exercises the waiver power for one take-over bid, the waiver will also apply to any other take-over bid for the Company made by take-over bid circular to all holders of Common Shares prior to the expiry of any other bid for which the 2008 Rights Plan has been waived.

Redemption: The board of directors of the Company, with the majority approval of shareholders (or the holders of Rights if the Separation Time has occurred) at a meeting duly called for that purpose, may redeem the Rights at \$0.0001 per Right. Rights may also be redeemed by the board of directors on behalf of the Company without such approval following completion of a Permitted Bid, Competing Permitted Bid or Exempt Acquisition.

Amendment: The board of directors of the Company may amend the 2008 Rights Plan with the majority approval of shareholders (or the holders of Rights, if the Separation Time has occurred) at a meeting duly called for that purpose. The board of directors without such approval may correct clerical or typographical errors and, subject to approval as noted above at the next meeting of the shareholders (or holders of Rights, as the case may be), may make amendments to the 2008 Rights Plan to maintain its validity due to changes in applicable legislation.

Exemptions for Investment Advisors: Investment advisors (for fully managed accounts), trust companies (acting in their capacities as trustees and administrators), statutory bodies whose business includes the management of funds and administrators of registered pension plans acquiring greater than 20% of the Common Shares are exempted from triggering a Flip-in Event, provided that they are not making, or are not part of a group making, a take-over bid for the Company.

Board of Directors: The 2008 Rights Plan will not detract from or lessen the duty of the board of directors of the Company to act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company. The board of directors, when a Permitted Bid is made, will continue to have the duty and power to take such actions and make such recommendations to shareholders as are considered appropriate.

RISK FACTORS

An investment in Niko should be considered speculative due to the nature of the Company's involvement in the exploration for, and the acquisition, development, production and marketing of, oil and natural gas in foreign countries and its current stage of development. Oil and gas operations involve many risks and uncertainties, which even a combination of experience and knowledge and careful evaluation, may not be able to overcome. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to the management of Niko may also have an adverse effect on Niko's business and the information set out below does not purport to be an exhaustive summary of the risks affecting Niko.

There is no assurance that further commercial quantities of oil and natural gas will be discovered or acquired by the Company. Exploration, appraisal and development of oil and gas reserves is speculative and involve a significant degree of risk. There is no guarantee that exploration or appraisal of the properties in which Niko holds an interest will lead to a commercial discovery or, if there is a commercial discovery, that Niko will be able to realize such reserves as intended. Few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into new reserves. If at any stage Niko is precluded from pursuing its exploration or development programs, or such programs are otherwise not continued, Niko's business, financial conditions and/or results of operations and accordingly, the trading price of its common shares, is likely to be materially affected.

International operations are subject to political, economic and other uncertainties, including, among others, risk of war, risk of terrorist activities, revolution, border disputes, expropriation, renegotiations or modification of existing contracts, freezing of bank accounts and other assets, restrictions on repatriation of funds, import, export and transportation regulations and tariffs, taxation policies, including royalty and tax increases and retroactive tax claims, exchange controls, limits on allowable levels of production, currency fluctuations, labour disputes, sudden changes in laws, government control over domestic oil and gas pricing and other uncertainties arising out of foreign government sovereignty over the Company's international operations. With respect to taxation matters, the governments and other regulatory agencies in the foreign jurisdictions in which Niko operates may make sudden changes in laws relating to taxation or impose higher tax rates which may affect Niko's operations in a significant manner. These governments and agencies may not allow certain deductions in calculating tax payable that Niko believes should be deductible under applicable laws or may have differing views as to values of transferred properties. This can result in significantly higher tax payable than initially anticipated by Niko. In many circumstances, readjustments to tax payable imposed by these governments and agencies may occur years after the initial tax amounts were paid by Niko which can result in Niko having to pay significant penalties and fines. The Company's international operations may also be adversely affected by laws and policies of the United States and Canada affecting foreign trade, taxation and investment. Furthermore, in the event of a dispute arising from international operations, the Company may be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of foreign courts or may not be successful in subjecting foreign persons to the jurisdiction of courts in Canada.

Exploration and development activities may be delayed or adversely affected by factors outside the control of Niko. These include adverse climate and geographic conditions, including offshore operations, labour disputes, the performance of joint venture or farm-in partners on whom Niko may be or may become reliant, compliance with governmental requirements, shortages or delays in installing and commissioning plant and equipment or import or customs delays. Problems may also arise due to the quality or failure of locally obtained equipment or interruptions

to services (such as power, water, fuel or transport or processing capacity) or technical support which result in failure to achieve expected target dates for exploration or production and/or result in a requirement for greater expenditure. Drilling may involve unprofitable efforts, not only with respect to dry wells, but also with respect to wells that, though yielding some oil or gas, are not sufficiently productive to justify commercial development or cover operating and other costs. Completion of a well does not assure a profit on the investment or recovery of drilling, completion and operating costs.

The marketability of oil and natural gas acquired or discovered in the countries in which the Company operates will be affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company. These factors include reservoir characteristics, market fluctuations, the proximity and capacity of oil and natural gas pipelines and processing equipment and government regulation. Oil and natural gas operations (exploration, production, pricing, marketing and transportation) are subject to extensive controls and regulations imposed by various levels of government, which may be amended from time to time. The Company's oil and natural gas operations may also be subject to compliance with laws and regulations controlling the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. Although the Company believes that it is in material compliance with current applicable environmental regulations, changes to such regulations may have a material adverse effect on the Company. Both oil and natural gas prices are unstable and are subject to fluctuation. Any material decline in prices could result in a reduction of the Company's net production revenue and overall value and could result in ceiling test write-downs. The economics of producing from some wells may change as a result of lower prices, which could result in a reduction in the volumes of the Company's reserves. The Company might also elect not to produce from certain wells at lower prices. All of these factors could result in a material decrease in the Company's net production revenue, causing a reduction in its oil and gas acquisition and development activities.

Oil and natural gas prices varied considerably throughout 2008 and 2009 and, since August 2008, the prices of oil and natural gas have decreased significantly, concurrent with the downturn in the global economy. Decreases in oil prices typically result in a reduction of the Company's net production revenue and may change the economics of producing from some wells, which could result in a reduction in the volume of the Company's reserves. Decreases in natural gas prices typically result in a reduction in the price at which the Company signs and renegotiates gas contracts and may result in a reduction of the Company's net production revenue and may change the economics of producing from some wells, which could result in a reduction in the volume of the Company's reserves. Any further substantial declines in the prices of crude oil or natural gas could also result in delay or cancellation of existing or future drilling, development or construction programs or the curtailment of production. All of these factors could result in a material decrease in the Company's net production revenue, cash flows and profitability and have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations, financial condition, proved reserves and the level of expenditures for the development of its oil and natural gas reserves, causing a reduction in its oil and gas acquisition and development activities.

Infrastructure development in many of the countries in which the Company operates is limited. These factors may affect the Company's ability to explore and develop its properties and to store and transport its oil and gas production. There can be no assurance that future instability in one or more of the countries in which Niko operates, actions by companies doing business there, or actions taken by the international community will not have a material adverse effect on the countries in question and in turn on the Company's financial conditions or operations.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of reserves and the present value of net cash flows attributable to such reserves. Such estimates represent subjective judgements based on available data and the quality of such data. Different reserve engineers may make different estimates of reserve quantities and the present value of net cash flows attributable to the production of such quantities. Substantial revisions to the reserve quantities and present value estimates may be necessary due to numerous factors, including the results of drilling, testing and production and changes in the assumptions regarding decline and production rates, taxes, royalties, prices and costs made after the date of a reserve estimate. The reserve estimates included and incorporated by reference in this document could be materially different from the quantities and values ultimately realised.

The Company is dependent on receipt of government approvals or permits or no objection certificates to develop its properties. Any change in government or legislation or delays in receiving government approvals or permits or no

objection certificates may delay the development of the Company's properties or may affect the status of the Company's contractual arrangements or its ability to meet its contractual obligations.

Based on the Company's forecasted cash and capital requirements over Fiscal 2010, the Company expects that its funds from operations and cash on hand will be sufficient to meet all of its working capital requirements and planned capital expenditures in Fiscal 2010, however, there is a risk that the Company will not have sufficient funds to meet planned capital expenditures. The Company's ability to raise financing in the future is subject to market or commodity price changes, economic downturns and the future performance of the Company. There can be no assurances that any required financing will be available to Niko when needed or even if it is available, that it will be available on terms that are acceptable to Niko. If such financing is not available or is not available on terms that are acceptable to Niko, this could impact Niko's ability to carry out its planned exploration and/or development activities and/or its ability to comply with contractual obligations it has under the agreements governing its properties or under its agreements with its various partners which could result in loss of rights under such agreements, legal action against Niko and/or loss of properties, any of which could have a substantial negative impact on Niko and its financial position. Any additional issuance of Common Shares by Niko will result in dilution to its current holders of Common Shares, which dilution could be substantial.

Current global financial markets have been subject to increased volatility, with numerous financial institutions having either gone into bankruptcy or having to be rescued by government authorities. Access to financing has been negatively impacted by both the sub-prime mortgage market in the United States and elsewhere and the liquidity crisis affecting the asset-backed commercial paper market. As such, the Company is subject to counter-party risk and liquidity risk. The Company is exposed to various counter-party risks including, but not limited to: (i) through financial institutions that hold the Company's cash; (ii) through companies that have payables to the Company; (iii) through the Company's insurance providers; (iv) through the Company's lenders; and (v) through companies that have received deposits from the Company for the future delivery of equipment. The Company is also exposed to liquidity risks in meeting its operating expenditure requirements in instances where cash positions are unable to be maintained or appropriate financing is unavailable. These factors may impact the ability of the Company to obtain loans and other credit facilities in the future and, if obtained, on terms favourable to the Company. If these increased levels of volatility and market turmoil continue, the Company's planned growth could be adversely impacted and the trading price of the Company's securities could be adversely affected.

As a result of the weakened global economic situation, the Company, along with all other oil and gas entities, may have restricted access to capital, bank debt and equity, and is likely to face increased borrowing costs. Although the Company's business has not changed, the lending capacity of all financial institutions has diminished and risk premiums have increased. As future capital expenditures will be financed out of funds generated from operations, borrowings and possible future equity sales, the Company's ability to do so is dependent on, among other factors, the overall state of capital markets and investor appetite for investments in the energy industry and the Company's securities in particular. To the extent that external sources of capital become limited or unavailable or available on onerous terms, the Company's ability to make capital investments and maintain existing assets may be impaired, and its assets, liabilities, business, financial condition and results of operations may be materially and adversely affected as a result. If funds generated from operations are lower than expected or capital costs for these projects exceed current estimates, or if the Company incurs major unanticipated expenses related to development or maintenance of its existing properties, it will be required to seek additional capital to maintain its capital expenditures at planned levels. Failure to obtain any financing necessary for the Company's capital expenditure plans may result in a delay in development or production on the Company's properties.

From time to time, the Company may enter into work commitments on new or existing fields or blocks or into transactions to acquire assets or the shares of other companies. These activities may be financed partially or wholly with equity or with debt, the latter of which could increase the Company's debt levels above industry standards. Depending on future exploration and development plans and results thereof, the Company may require additional financing, which may not be available or, if available, may not be available on favourable terms.

Oil and natural gas exploration and development activities are dependent on the availability of drilling and related equipment in the particular areas in which such activities will be conducted. Demand for such limited equipment or

access restrictions may affect the availability of such equipment to the Company and may delay exploration and development activities. To the extent the Company is not the operator of its oil and gas properties, the Company will be dependent on such operators to comply with the terms of the agreements granting the interests in its properties and for the timing of activities related to such properties and will be largely unable to direct or control the activities of the operators.

Repayment of the outstanding debt amounts is based on certain financial ratios as determined in accordance with the Company's amended credit agreement, with the outstanding debt amount not to exceed a reduction schedule. The financial ratios are based on the future cash flows from producing properties determined in accordance with such agreement. Failure to meet these ratios will trigger early payment of a part or the entire long-term debt balance. There are a number of other items in the agreement that could trigger early payment of a part of the entire balance of long-term debt including, but not limited to: the inability of the Company to complete project completion as defined in the agreement prior to a specified date; the Company not having sufficient funds to complete the project; the Company not having gas sales contract for a quantity sufficient to meet milestones specified in the agreement support future cash flows required to meet the financial ratios and compliance with various information and other requirements specified in the amended credit agreement.

During the year ended March 31, 2006, NRBL received a letter from the Petrobangla demanding compensation related to the uncontrolled flow problems that occurred in Chattak in January and June 2005. Subsequent to March 31, 2008, NRBL was named as a defendant in a lawsuit that was filed in Bangladesh by Petrobangla and the Republic of Bangladesh demanding compensation as follows: (i) Taka 369,385,000 (US\$5.3 million) for 3 Bcf of free natural gas delivered from Feni as compensation for the burnt natural gas; (ii) Taka 725,225,000 (US\$10.3 million) for 5.89 Bcf of free natural gas delivered from Feni as compensation for the subsurface loss; (iii) Taka 845,560,000 (US\$11.2 million) for environmental damages, an amount subject to be increased upon further assessment; (iv) Taka 5,540,771,000 (US\$78.8 million) for 45 Bcf of natural gas as compensation for further subsurface loss; and (v) any other claims that arise from time to time. The Company and the GOB had previously agreed to settle the GOB's claims through arbitration conducted in Bangladesh based upon international rules. The Company will actively defend itself against the lawsuit if it proceeds. This process could take in excess of three years. There is a risk that the Company will lose the lawsuit in the Bangladesh low courts. Any negative result to the Company and NRBL with respect to the above could have an adverse impact on the Company and its financial position. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Bangladesh – Chattak and Feni, Bangladesh".

Some of the jurisdictions in which Niko operates may have less developed legal systems than jurisdictions with more established economies which may result in risks such as (i) effective legal redress in the courts of such jurisdictions, whether in respect of breach of law or regulation or in an ownership dispute, being more difficult to obtain, (ii) a higher degree of discretion on the part of governmental authorities, (iii) the lack of judicial or administrative guidance on interpreting applicable rules and regulations, (iv) inconsistencies or conflicts between and within various laws, regulations, decrees, orders and resolutions, or (v) relative inexperience of the judiciary and courts in such matters. There can be no assurance that joint ventures, licenses, license applications or other legal arrangements will not be adversely affected by the actions of government authorities or other third parties and the effectiveness of and enforcement of such arrangements in these jurisdictions cannot be assured.

Oil and natural gas exploration operations are subject to all the risks and hazards typically associated with such operations, including hazards such as fire, explosion, blowouts, cratering, pollution, seepage or leaks, earthquake activity and unusual or unexpected geological conditions, each of which could result in substantial damage to oil and natural gas wells, producing facilities, other property and the environment or in personal injury. In accordance with industry practice, the Company is not fully insured against all of these risks, nor are all such risks insurable. Although the Company maintains liability insurance in an amount that it considers adequate, the nature of these risks is such that liabilities could exceed policy limits or such insurance may not cover the consequences of such events. In addition, certain risks may be such that the Company may choose, because of the high cost of premiums, to elect not to insure against such risks. In any of these circumstances, the Company could incur significant costs that could have a materially adverse effect upon its financial condition.

During Fiscal 2006, a group of petitioners in Bangladesh filed a writ with the Supreme Court of Bangladesh against various parties, including NRBL. The petitioners are requesting the following of the Supreme Court of Bangladesh (the "**Supreme Court**") with respect to the Company: (i) that the Joint Venture Agreement for Feni and Chattak be declared null and illegal, (ii) that the GOB realize from the Company compensation for the natural gas lost as a result of the uncontrolled flow problems as well as for damage to the surrounding area, (iii) that Petrobangla withhold future payments owing to the Company relating to production from (US\$26.8 million owing and unpaid as at March 31, 2009), and (iv) that all bank accounts of the Company maintained in Bangladesh be frozen. At one point during Fiscal 2006, an order was issued by the Supreme Court in this lawsuit freezing the Bangladesh bank accounts of NRBL. This freeze was lifted shortly thereafter, allowing NRBL to make payments to Bangladesh vendors and suppliers. However the Supreme Court has provided that payments by NRBL to vendors and suppliers outside of Bangladesh are prohibited. The Company's foreign vendors are being paid from bank accounts of NRBL that are located outside the country. If this legal action is determined negatively against the Company's subsidiary in Bangladesh, it could result in the cancellation of NRBL's interests in Feni and Chattak as well as the imposition of relief against NRBL as detailed in (b), (c) and (d) above which could have a significant adverse impact on NRBL and its financial position. Also, there can be no guarantee that the Supreme Court will not place a freeze on the bank accounts in the future and if it does, that such freeze can be lifted in a timely manner or at all. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Bangladesh – Chattak and Feni, Bangladesh".

Approximately 24% of the Company's total revenue in Fiscal 2009 was derived from natural gas production from the Hazira Field in India and approximately 45% of the Company's total revenue in Fiscal 2009 was derived from natural gas production from the Block 9 Field in Bangladesh. The occurrence of any event that would prevent the production of natural gas by the Company from these fields, including physical problems with the infrastructure facilities (howsoever arising) supporting the field or negative actions on the part of any government or regulatory authority in India or Bangladesh, would have a significant adverse effect on the Company's cash flows and revenue until such time as such problem is remedied.

The Company has filed its income tax returns in India for the taxation years 1998 through 2008 under provisions that provide for a tax holiday deduction for production from the Hazira and Surat fields for eligible undertakings. The Company received a favourable ruling with respect to the tax holiday at the third tax assessment level for the taxation years 1999 through 2004. The Company has received US\$12.8 million during the year with respect to the tribunal ruling on these years, excluding taxation year 2002, and US\$2.4 million for interest on the balance received. The Income Tax Department has filed an appeal against the orders and the matter is currently pending with the Indian court. The 2005 taxation year has been assessed at the first level with unfavourable treatment with respect to the tax holiday and other deductions. The Company has filed an appeal against the order. The taxation years 2006 through 2008 have been filed including a deduction for the tax holiday, but have not yet been assessed. Should the Company fail through the legal process to receive a favourable ruling with respect to the taxation years 1999 through 2005, the Company would record a tax expense of US\$31.2 million, pay additional taxes of US\$20.9 million and write off US\$10.3 million of the income tax receivable. In addition, any failure could result in interest and penalties. There is a risk of penalties and interest on amounts assessed and the assessed amounts, the penalties and the interest may have a significant adverse effect on the Company and its financial condition.

Oil and natural gas production operations are subject to all the risks typically associated with such operations, including premature decline of reservoirs and the invasion of water into producing formations. These events may result in a significant decrease in the cash flows of the Company and the Company's financial condition.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permit requirements may result in enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment or remedial actions. Parties engaged in oil and gas operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of such activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed.

The petroleum industry, in all countries in which the Company operates, is competitive in all its phases. The Company competes with numerous other participants in the search for and the acquisition of oil and natural gas

properties and in the marketing of oil and natural gas. The Company's competitors include oil companies which have greater financial resources, staff and facilities than those of the Company. The Company's ability to increase reserves in the future will depend not only on its ability to develop its present properties, but also on its ability to select and acquire suitable producing properties or prospects for exploration and development. Competitive factors in the distribution and marketing of oil and natural gas include price and methods and reliability of delivery.

The Company and GSPC submit annual expenditure budgets to the GOI for approval for all their Indian fields and blocks. Expenditures in excess of the budget are subject to approval by the GOI. The Company has compiled cost over-runs for prior years and is in the process of reviewing them with the GOI. If these expenditures are not ratified by the GOI, the allowable expenditure limit for any given year may be reduced and this would affect the investment multiple, potentially affecting the petroleum profit share calculation.

The Company has been delivering gas from Feni to Petrobangla, the GOB state oil and gas company since November 2004. As at March 31, 2009, Petrobangla owed US\$26.8 million to NRBL for gas delivered to Petrobangla from Feni, which amount remains unpaid. In addition, Petrobangla continues to not pay for gas that is continuing to be delivered to it from Feni and there is a risk that Petrobangla will continue not paying for the gas supplied to it. The Company also has no assurances that Petrobangla will pay the outstanding receivable referred to above for the gas it has not yet paid for. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Bangladesh – Chattak and Feni, Bangladesh".

The Company is a joint venture partner in most of its fields and may enter into further joint ventures in the future. As a result, the Company's ability to execute its business plan may be constrained by partner involvement and the action of its joint venture partners particularly where the joint venture partner is the operator and/or holds a significantly larger interest in the property than the Company.

The Company's success depends in large measure on certain key personnel. The loss of the services of such key personnel could have a material adverse effect on the Company. The contributions of these personnel to the immediate operations of the Company are likely to be of central importance. In addition, competition for qualified personnel in the oil and natural gas industry is intense and there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to continue to attract and retain all personnel necessary for the development and operation of its business. Investors must rely upon the ability, expertise, judgement, discretion, integrity and good faith of the management of the Company.

As the Company is involved in oil and gas exploration, it is subject to extensive environmental and safety legislation (for example, in relation to plugging and abandonment of wells, discharge of materials into the environment and otherwise relating to environmental protection) and this legislation may change in a manner that may require additional or stricter standards than those now in effect, a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their directors and employees and more stringent enforcement of existing laws and regulations. There may be unforeseen environmental liabilities resulting from oil and gas activities that may be costly to remedy. In particular, the acceptable level of pollution and the potential clean-up costs and obligations and liability for toxic or hazardous substances for which the Company may become liable as a result of its activities may be impossible to assess against the current legal framework and current enforcement practices of the various jurisdictions. The extent of potential liability, if any, for the costs of abatement of environmental hazards cannot be accurately determined and consequently no assurances can be given that the costs of implementing environmental measures or meeting any liabilities in the future will not be material to the Company or affect its business or operations.

The majority of the Company's revenues and expenses are denominated in U.S. dollars. In addition, the Company converts Canadian-held cash to U.S. dollars as required to fund forecast U.S. dollar expenditures. As a result, the Company has limited its cash exposure to fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar versus other currencies. However, the Company is exposed to changes in the value of the Indian rupee and Bangladesh taka versus the U.S. dollar as they are applied to the Company's working capital of its foreign subsidiaries. The financial instruments are exposed to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates, which are used in the translation of the financial statements of the Canadian and corporate operations to U.S. dollars. The reported U.S. dollar value of the cash and cash

equivalents, accounts receivable, short-term investment and accounts payable of the Canadian and corporate operations is exposed to fluctuations in the value of the Canadian dollar versus the U.S. dollar.

The Company has performance security guarantees to the government of India, Indonesia and Madagascar totalling US\$19.9 million. In addition, the Company has provided a parent Company guarantee on behalf of Niko Resources (Pakistan) Limited and Nikoresources (Kurdistan) Ltd. The governments of India, Indonesia, Madagascar, Pakistan and Kurdistan have the right to collect on the guarantees if the Company does not carry out the work commitments required under the various concession agreements (PSC or PSA).

The Company's working interest share of performance security guarantees provided to the governments of India, Indonesia and Madagascar total US\$19.9 million.

The Company's gas sales for Fiscal 2009 were to 7 customers: Petrobangla (49%), GGCL (16%), GSEG (12%), GSPC (10%) and the remaining three customers accounted for 13% of total sales. The Company's sales of natural gas and therefore its revenues are currently dependent upon the above mentioned purchasers. The occurrence of any event that would cause any purchaser, or one of any other purchasers to which the Company commences selling natural gas production, to cease, or to materially reduce, its purchases of natural gas from the Company, could have a significant adverse effect on the Company's cash flows and revenue until such time as alternative purchasers could be arranged. The Company has not received payment from Petrobangla for the gas sales to it from Feni in Fiscal 2009. The Company has received payment from Petrobangla for the gas sales to it from Block 9 in Fiscal 2009.

The Company is required to hire and train local workers in its petroleum operations. Some of these workers may be organized into labour unions. Any strike activity or labour unrest could adversely affect the Company's ongoing operations and its ability to explore for, produce and market its oil and gas production.

The Company and its partner are currently in arbitration with the GOI with respect to the cost recovery status of the investment in the 36-inch pipeline at the Hazira Field. If successful in the arbitration, the Company will reduce its profit petroleum payments currently being made. Additionally, in October 2002, GSPC and the Company signed a memorandum of understanding in which GSPC agreed to transfer the rights of the 36-inch pipeline to the joint venture. At March 31, 2009, the Company is attempting to obtain legal title to the 36-inch pipeline. Although there is a signed memorandum of understanding, there is a risk that title of the 36-inch pipeline does not transfer to the joint venture and may result in an adverse impact on the Company and its financial position.

Certain directors of the Company are also directors of other oil and gas companies and as such may, in certain circumstances, have a conflict of interest requiring them to abstain from certain decisions. Conflicts, if any, will be subject to the procedures and remedies of the ABCA. See "Conflicts of Interest".

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND REGULATORY ACTIONS

Other than as disclosed under "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information – Oil and Gas Properties – Bangladesh – Chattak and Feni, Bangladesh", to the knowledge of management of the Company, there are no material legal proceedings to which the Company or to which any of their property is the subject, nor are any such proceedings that are contemplated.

INTEREST OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

Other than as set out below, none of the directors or executive officers of the Company, any person or company that is a direct or indirect beneficial owner of, or who exercises control or direction over, more than 10% of any class or series of outstanding voting securities of the Company, nor any associate or affiliate of the foregoing persons has had any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transactions during the three most recently completed financial years or during the current financial year that has materially affected or will materially affect the Company.

Mr. Ed Sampson, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of the Company, is executor of the estate of Robert N. Ohlson, the former President of the Company, who passed away in November 2004. In his capacity as executor, Mr. Sampson has control or direction over 2,376,229 Common Shares held by the estate of Mr. Ohlson.

TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

The transfer agent and registrar of the Common Shares is Computershare Trust Company of Canada at its offices in Calgary, Alberta and Toronto, Ontario.

EXPERTS

The audited financial statements of Niko for Fiscal 2009 were audited by KPMG LLP, Chartered Accountants, of Calgary, Alberta. KPMG LLP has confirmed that it is independent of the Company in accordance with the relevant rules and related interpretation prescribed by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Alberta.

Ryder Scott prepared the Ryder Scott Report with respect to the Company's reserves in the D6 Block, the Hazira Field, the Surat Block, Feni and Block 9. See "Statement of Reserves Data and Other Oil and Gas Information". Ryder Scott also signed the Report on Reserves Data by Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluators – Form 51-102F2 contained elsewhere in this Annual Information Form. As far as Niko is aware, as of the date hereof, the partners and employees of Ryder Scott Company did not beneficially own any outstanding Common Shares.

As far as Niko is aware, as of the date hereof, the partners and associates of KPMG LLP did not beneficially own any outstanding Common Shares. KPMG is independent in accordance with the rules of professional conduct outlined by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Alberta.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information, including information as to directors' and officers' remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Company's securities and securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans, is contained in the management information circular and proxy statement of the Company dated July 28, 2008 for the annual and special meeting of the holders of Common Shares. Additional financial information is also provided in the Company's financial statements and management's discussion and analysis for the year ended March 31, 2009. These documents and additional information relating to the Company can be found on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Copies of these documents may be obtained, in some cases upon payment of a reasonable charge, upon request to:

Niko Resources Ltd.
Suite 4600, Canterra Tower
400 – 3rd Avenue S.W.
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 4H2
Phone: 403-262-1020
Fax: 403-263-2686
Attention: President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board

FORM 51-101F2
REPORT ON RESERVES DATA BY INDEPENDENT QUALIFIED RESERVES EVALUATOR

Terms to which meanings are ascribed in National Instrument 51-101 have the same meanings herein.

To the Board of Directors of Niko Resources Ltd. ("the Company"):

1. We have evaluated the Company's reserves data as at March 31, 2009. The reserves data are estimates of proved reserves and probable reserves and related future net revenue as at March 31, 2009, estimated using forecast prices and costs.
2. The reserves data are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the reserves data based on our evaluation.

We carried out our evaluation in accordance with standards set out in the Canadian Oil and Gas Evaluation Handbook (the "COGE Handbook") prepared jointly by the Society of Petroleum Evaluation Engineers (Calgary Chapter) and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy & Petroleum (Petroleum Society).

3. Those standards require that we plan and perform an evaluation to obtain reasonable assurance as to whether the reserves data are free of material misstatement. An evaluation also includes assessing whether the reserves data are in accordance with principles and definitions presented in the COGE Handbook.
4. The following table sets forth the estimated future net revenue (before deduction of income taxes) attributed to proved plus probable reserves, estimated using forecast prices and costs and calculated using a discount rate of 10 percent, included in the reserves data of the Company evaluated by us for the year ended March 31, 2009, and identifies the respective portions thereof that we have evaluated and reported on to the Company's Board of Directors.

Independent Qualified Reserves Evaluator	Description and Preparation Date of Evaluation	Location of Reserves (Country or Foreign Geographic Area)	Net Present Value of Future Net Revenue (before income taxes, 10% discount rate) (USD 000s)			
			Audited	Evaluated	Reviewed	Total
Ryder Scott Company	March 31, 2009	Bangladesh and India	N/A	\$2,077,551	N/A	\$2,077,551

5. In our opinion, the reserves data respectively evaluated by us have, in all material respects, been determined and are in accordance with the COGE Handbook. We express no opinion on the reserves data that we reviewed but did not audit or evaluate.
6. We have no responsibility to update our reports referred to in paragraph 4 for events and circumstances occurring after their respective preparation dates.
7. Because the reserves data are based on judgements regarding future events, actual results will vary and the variations may be material. However, any variations should be consistent with the fact that reserves are categorized accordingly to the probability of their recovery.

Executed as to our report referred to above:

Ryder Scott Company-Canada, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

Execution Date: Dated as of the 22nd day of June, 2009

(signed) "Howard C. Lam"

Howard C. Lam, P. Eng.,

Managing Senior Vice President

FORM 51-101F3

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS ON OIL AND GAS DISCLOSURE

Terms to which meanings are ascribed in National Instrument 51-101 have the same meanings herein.

Management of Niko Resources Ltd. (the "Company") are responsible for the preparation and disclosure of information with respect to the Company's oil and gas activities in accordance with securities regulatory requirements. This information includes reserves data, which are estimates of proved reserves and probable reserves and related future net revenue as at March 31, 2009, estimated using forecast prices and costs.

Independent qualified reserves evaluator has evaluated the Company's reserves data. The report of the independent qualified reserves evaluator is presented in this Annual Information Form of the Company for the year ended March 31, 2009.

The Environment and Reserve Committee of the board of directors of the Company has

- (a) reviewed the Company's procedures for providing information to the independent qualified reserves evaluators;
- (b) met with the independent qualified reserves evaluator to determine whether any restrictions affected the ability of the independent qualified reserves evaluator to report without reservation ; and
- (c) reviewed the reserves data with management and the independent qualified reserves evaluator.

The Environment and Reserve Committee of the board of directors has reviewed the Company's procedures for assembling and reporting other information associated with oil and gas activities and has reviewed that information with management. The board of directors has, on the recommendation of the Environment and Reserve Committee, approved:

- (a) the content and filing with securities regulatory authorities of the reserves data and other oil and gas information;
- (b) the filing of Form 51-101F2 which is the report of the independent qualified reserves evaluators on the reserves data; and
- (c) the content and filing of this report.

Because the reserves data are based on judgements regarding future events, actual results will vary and the variations may be material. However, any variations should be consistent with the fact that reserves are categorized according to the probability of their recovery.

(signed) "Edward S. Sampson"
Edward S. Sampson
Chairman of the Board, President and
Chief Executive Officer

(signed) "William T. Hornaday"
William T. Hornaday
Chief Operating Officer

(signed) "Walter DeBoni"
Walter DeBoni
Director

(signed) "Conrad A. Kathol"
Conrad A. Kathol
Director

Dated: June 22, 2009

SCHEDULE "A"
NIKO AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER

1.0 Constitution

A standing committee of the Board of Directors ("Board") of Niko Resources Ltd. (the "Corporation" or the "Company") consisting of members of the Board is hereby appointed by the Board from among their number and complying with all other legislation, regulations, TSX and NYSE listing standards agreements, articles and policies to which the Company and its business is subject is hereby established and designated as the "Audit Committee" (the "Committee").

2.0 Overall Purpose/Objectives

The Committee will assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, including:

- 2.1 the integrity of the Corporation's financial statements;
- 2.2 the integrity of the financial reporting process;
- 2.3 the system of internal control and management of financial risks;
- 2.4 the external auditors' qualifications and independence;
- 2.5 the external audit process and the Corporation's process for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations;
- 2.6 internal audit & reviews as required or scheduled;
- 2.7 disclosure of any material information;
- 2.8 information systems and office operation disaster recovery program; and
- 2.9 review and approve equity offering prospectus.

In performing its duties, the Committee will maintain effective working relationships with the Board, management and the external auditors. To perform his or her role effectively, each Committee member will obtain an understanding of the Corporation's business, operations, risks and related legislation, regulations and industry standards. So that the Audit Committee can discharge its duties as a whole, all Audit Committee members must be financially literate, and at least one member must have accounting or related financial management expertise.

3.0 Authority

The Board authorizes the Committee, within its scope of duties and responsibilities, to:

- 3.1 seek any information it requires from any employee of the Corporation (whose employees are directed to co-operate with any request made by the Committee);
- 3.2 seek any information it requires directly from external parties including the external auditors and independent engineer; and
- 3.3 obtain outside legal or other professional advice without seeking Board approval (however providing notice to the Chair of the Board).

4.0 Organization

The following provisions and regulations shall apply to the composition of the Committee:

- 4.1 the Committee shall consist of not less than three members of the Board of the Corporation;
- 4.2 the members of the Committee shall be independent members and unrelated to Management;
- 4.3 the Chair of the Committee shall be determined by the Board of the Corporation;
- 4.4 as a minimum, one member must be viewed as a financial expert;
- 4.5 two members of the Committee shall constitute a quorum thereof;
- 4.6 no business shall be transacted by the Committee except at a meeting of its members at which a quorum is present in person or by telephone or by a resolution in writing signed by all members of the Committee;
- 4.7 the meetings and proceedings of the Committee shall be governed by the provisions of the by-laws of the Corporation that regulate meetings and proceedings of the Board;
- 4.8 the Committee may invite such directors, officers or employees of the Corporation, the external auditors and independent engineer as it may see fit, to attend its meetings and take part in the discussion and consideration of the affairs of the Committee;
- 4.9 meetings shall be held not less than four times per year, generally coinciding with the release of interim or year-end financial information. Special meetings may be convened as required upon the request of the Committee Chair or any member. The external auditors and independent engineer may convene a meeting if they consider that it is desirable or necessary;
- 4.10 the proceedings of all meetings will be minuted;
- 4.11 the Committee shall meet separately, at least quarterly, with:
 - (a) management;
 - (b) external auditors.

5.0 Duties and Responsibilities

The Board hereby delegates and authorizes the Committee to carry out the following duties and responsibilities to the extent that these activities are not carried out by the Board as a whole:

- 5.1 Corporate Information and Internal Control
 - 5.1.1 review and recommend for approval of the quarterly and annual financial statements, MD&A, press releases, annual report, AIF and Management Proxy Circular (salary and related benefit information will be reviewed and approved by the Compensation Committee) of the Company;
 - 5.1.2 review of internal control systems maintained by the Corporation and the Company;
 - 5.1.3 review of major changes to information systems;
 - 5.1.4 review of spending authority and approval of limits;

- 5.1.5 review of significant accounting and tax compliance issues where there is choice among various alternatives or where application of a policy has a significant effect on the financial results of the Company;
- 5.1.6 review of significant proposed non-recurring events such as mergers, acquisitions or divestitures; and
- 5.1.7 review press releases or other publicly circulated documents containing financial information.

5.2 External Auditors

- 5.2.1 retain and terminate the external auditors (subject to unitholder approval);
- 5.2.2 review the terms of the external auditors' engagement and the appropriateness and reasonableness of the proposed engagement fees;
- 5.2.3 annually, obtain and review a report by the external auditors describing the firm's internal quality control procedures; any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality control review (or peer review) of the firm or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities;
- 5.2.4 annually, a certificate attesting to the external auditors' independence, identifying all relationships between the external auditors and the Company;
- 5.2.5 annually, evaluate the external auditors' qualifications, performance and independence;
- 5.2.6 annually, to assure continuing auditor independence, consider the rotation of lead audit partner or the external auditor itself;
- 5.2.7 where there is a change of auditor, review all issues related to the change, including information to be included in the notice of change of auditors (National Policy #31 as adopted by the Canadian Securities Regulatory Authorities), and the planned steps for an orderly transition;
- 5.2.8 review all reportable events, including disagreements, unresolved issues and consultations, as defined in National Policy #31, on a routine basis, whether or not there is a change of auditors;
- 5.2.9 pre-approve engagements for non-audit services provided by the external auditors or their affiliates, together with estimated fees and potential issues of independence; and
- 5.2.10 set hiring policies for employees or former employees of the external auditors.

5.3 Audit

- 5.3.1 review the audit plan for the coming year with the external auditors and with management;
- 5.3.2 review with management and the external auditors any proposed changes in major accounting policies, the presentation and impact of significant risks and uncertainties, and key estimates and judgements of management that may be material to financial reporting;
- 5.3.3 question management and the external auditors regarding significant financial reporting issues during the Fiscal period and the method of a resolution;
- 5.3.4 review any problems experienced by the external auditors in performing the audit, including any restrictions imposed by management or significant accounting issues in which there was a disagreement with management;

- 5.3.5 review audited annual financial statements and quarterly financial statements with management and the external auditors (including disclosures under "Management Discussion & Analysis"), in conjunction with the report of the external auditors, and obtain explanation from management of all significant variances between comparative reporting periods;
- 5.3.6 review the auditors' report to management, containing recommendations of the external auditors', and management's response and subsequent remedy of any identified weaknesses; and
- 5.3.7 confirm with the external auditors, grants and payouts made, from time to time, under the Corporation's Long Term Incentive Plan, including those made to the senior officers.

5.4 Risk Management and Controls

- 5.4.1 review hedging strategies, policies, objectives and controls;
- 5.4.2 review, not less than quarterly, a mark to market assessment of the Corporation's hedge positions and counter party credit risk and exposure;
- 5.4.3 review adequacy of insurance coverage, outstanding or pending claims and premium costs;
- 5.4.4 review loss prevention policies and programs in the context of competitive and operational consideration; and
- 5.4.5 annually review authority limits for capital expenditures sales and purchases.

6.0 Other Duties and Responsibilities

- 6.1 The responsibilities, practices and duties of the Committee outlined herein are not intended to be comprehensive. The Board may, from time to time, charge the Committee with the responsibility of reviewing items of a financial or control nature, of a risk management nature and of a reserves nature; and
- 6.2 The Committee shall periodically report to the Board the results of reviews undertaken and any associated recommendations.